

Trust and trustworthiness

- Tarek Abdelzaher
- Lori Clarke
- Charles Friedman
- Susan Graham
- Joshua Rubin
- Bill Scherlis
- Laurie Williams

CCC♪

"Trust is essential for
CSLS" – Ed♪

Trust and trustworthiness

- **Definitions**

- **Trustworthiness**

- Attributes of a system (including its human participants)
 - E.g., flight controls in aircraft

- **Trust**

- Attitude of human operators and stakeholders with regard to a system
 - E.g., Tesla (over-trust); vaccinations (under-trust)

Trust → Trustworthiness V	High	Low
High		<i>Under-trust</i>
Low	<i>Over-trust</i>	

- **Participant**

- Human in some role as part of a system: operator, user, etc.
 - E.g., MD, patient, RN, driver, student, teacher, data analyst

- **Stakeholder**

- Human and organizations affected by the actions of a system
 - Includes participants

Trust and trustworthiness

- **Trustworthiness attributes**

- Categories
 - Security, safety, privacy, reliability, resilience, ethics
 - High dimensionality for each of these categories
 - Different kinds of assays (technique to make a judgment) for each
- Evaluation practices and measures
 - For each attribute
 - We identify thresholds, tolerances, and norms – perfection unattainable
 - Support for human judgment of fitness or “degree of trustworthiness”
 - Authorities and certification
 - Systems *and* participants

- **Trust attributes**

- Vary according to roles and backgrounds of participants and stakeholders
- Influenced by extrinsic events
 - Accidents, changes in context
 - E.g., changing demographics in a city, changing student preparation
- Influences by culture

Trust and trustworthiness

- **Influences on trustworthiness**

- Assays for the individual **attributes**
 - Use of technical means to inform human judgment and certification
 - Light under lamppost vs. Lord Kelvin
 - Effectiveness: must thwart adversaries (insiders and external)
 - VW, Theranos, ...
 - Modeling of functional and performance needs
 - Modeling of operating environment and scope of interactions with it
- **Architecture** and **composition** among components – technical challenge
 - Governance as a primary influence on architecture and architecture evolution
- Trustworthiness **designed into** the system
 - Influence on engineering model design, on “engineering data,” and on tooling
- Monitoring, logging, and **dynamic response**
- Engineering the **participant experience** to enhance trustworthiness
 - E.g., avoiding password stickies
 - E.g., correct metaphors for policies and processes

- **Influences on trust**

- **Explanation** and transparency
 - Explanation influenced by implicit knowledge of stakeholder
- **Perspicuity of metaphors** presented to humans [participants and stakeholders]
- **Experience** with the system over time
- **Governance** – identified stakeholders; drives trustworthiness practices
- **Business factors**: compliance, safe harbors, incentives (+/-) of players

Trust and trustworthiness

- **Domains and examples**

- Health care
 - E.g., shortcuts and optimization in procedures, based on data
- Cities
 - E.g., adaptive traffic management (AI Social Good)
- Education
 - E.g., student customized course materials
- ATC
 - E.g., flight route optimization (Platzer)

- **Tensions in a learning system**

- Establishment of routine and best practices **vs.** Benefits of continual adaptation
 - Being forced “out of the groove”
- Transformation of the roles for humans
 - Cf. “usable security and privacy”
 - Participants, operators, users, other stakeholders
- Architecture designed for “degree of trustworthiness”
 - Vs. usual “software discontinuity”
- Usability, “invisibility,” and trust
 - E.g., is the new Bay Bridge safe in an earthquake?
- Ethical tensions
 - E.g., perturbations in traffic, Facebook experiments, Peoria

Additional research topics

- **What are the trustworthiness attributes?**
 - How to assays for each?
 - What are measures?
 - What aspects are particularly challenging/special for CSLS
 - Relation with architectural decisions and composition
- **How can trust be measured, as it evolves over time?**
 - What are influences on trust?
 - How does “invisibility” or “embeddedness” influence trust?
- **New challenges in certification of trustworthiness?**
 - New influences
 - Rapid system-scale adaptation
 - Diffused governance (ULS)
 - Data quality (training) as an influence on system trustworthiness
 - Certification of ***process of adaptation*** as well as (in lieu of?) outcomes of adaptation
 - Emergence: What if we cannot easily evaluate the *results of adaptation* – but we have evaluated the adaptation mechanism?
 - How good are the data that inform that adaptation – what is evaluated here?
 - How to measure risk and “zone of uncertainty”
- **Is there a concept of "trust engineering" or at least "trust mgmt"?**
 - What are the ***principles of trust***?