



FROM VALUES TO CONSTRAINTS TO ASSURANCE

DAVID DANKS

DATA SCIENCE // PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

ASSURANCE DEPENDS ON VALUES

- Autonomous systems are not fully predictable
 - Assured autonomy cannot be (purely) about reliability
- Instead, assurance is about the system supporting our values
- But even if we know the relevant values, how can we use them to achieve *the right kind of* assurance?

FROM VALUES TO CONSTRAINTS

- Values imply (but are not equivalent to) behavioral constraints
 - “Safe driving” \Rightarrow “do not speed”, “do maintain awareness”, etc.
 - “Fair hiring” \Rightarrow “do not consider race”, “do determine skills”, etc.
 - Constraints can be:
 - Inexact
 - Context-sensitive
 - Use-appropriate
 - Expressible in different languages
 - ...
- Describe required & forbidden behavior
Leave other aspects unresolved

FROM CONSTRAINTS TO ASSURANCE

- Given a system-model, we can then prove / simulate:
 - Any constraints that are always violated
 - Contexts that might produce a constraint violation
 - Potential incompatibilities between constraints
 - Dynamics that potentially threaten to violate a constraint
- If the constraints accurately “track” the values, then we have a path to assurance