2019 Taulbee Survey

Total Undergrad CS Enrollment Rises Again, but with Fewer New Majors; Doctoral Degree Production Recovers From Last Year's Dip



By Stuart Zweben and Betsy Bizot

This article and the accompanying figures and tables present the results from the 49th annual CRA Taulbee Survey.¹ The survey, conducted annually by the Computing Research Association, documents trends in student enrollment, degree production, employment of graduates, and faculty salaries in academic units in the United States and Canada that grant the Ph.D. in computer science (CS), computer engineering (CE), or information (I).² Most of these academic units are departments, but some are colleges or schools of information or computing. In this report, we will use the term "department" to refer to the unit offering the program.

CRA gathers survey data during the fall. Responses received by February 7, 2020 are included in the analysis. The period covered by the data varies from table to table. Degree production and enrollment (Ph.D., Master's, and Bachelor's) refer to the previous academic year (2018-19). Data for new students in all categories refer to the current academic year (2019-20). Projected student production and information on faculty salaries are also for the current academic year; salaries are those effective January 1, 2020.

We surveyed a total of 278 Ph.D.-granting departments and received responses from 181, for an overall response rate of 65 percent. Last year we had the same number of respondents, but included more departments in our survey and had a 61 percent response rate. The response rates from CE and Canadian departments in particular continue to be low. The U.S. CS response rate of 77 percent is, as usual, the highest of all of the categories, and is higher than last year's 73 percent and equal to the U.S. CS response rate of two years ago. Figure 1 shows the history of the survey's response rates. Response rates are inexact because some departments provide only partial data, and some institutions provide a single joint response for multiple departments. Thus, in some tables the number of departments shown as reporting will not equal the overall total number of respondents shown in Figure 1 for that category of department.

To account for the changes in response rate, we will comment not only on aggregate totals but also on averages per department reporting or data from those departments that responded to both 2018 and 2019 surveys. This is a more meaningful indication of the one-year changes affecting the data.

Degree, enrollment, and faculty salary data for the U.S CS departments are stratified according to: a) whether the institution is public or private; and b) the tenure-track faculty size of the reporting department. The faculty size strata deliberately overlap, so that data from most departments affect multiple strata. This may be especially useful to departments near the boundary of one stratum. Salary data is also stratified according to the population of the locale in which the institution is located.³ These stratifications allow our readers to see multiple views of important data, and hopefully gain new insights from them. In addition to tabular presentations of data, we will use "box and whisker" diagrams to show medians, quartiles, and the range between the 10th and 90th percentile data points.

We thank all of the respondents to this year's questionnaire. The participating departments are listed at the end of this article. CRA member respondents will again be given the opportunity to obtain certain survey information for a self-selected peer group. Instructions for doing this will be emailed to all such departments.





Figure 1. Number of Respondents to the Taulbee Survey

Year	US CS Depts.	US CE Depts.	Canadian	US Information	Total
1995	110/133 (83%)	9/13 (69%)	11/16 (69%)		130/162 (80%)
1996	98/131 (75%)	8/13 (62%)	9/16 (56%)		115/160 (72%)
1997	111/133 (83%)	6/13 (46%)	13/17 (76%)		130/163 (80%)
1998	122/145 (84%)	7/19 (37%)	12/18 (67%)		141/182 (77%)
1999	132/156 (85%)	5/24 (21%)	19/23 (83%)		156/203 (77%)
2000	148/163 (91%)	6/28 (21%)	19/23 (83%)		173/214 (81%)
2001	142/164 (87%)	8/28 (29%)	23/23 (100%)		173/215 (80%)
2002	150/170 (88%)	10/28 (36%)	22/27 (82%)		182/225 (80%)
2003	148/170 (87%)	6/28 (21%)	19/27 (70%)		173/225 (77%)
2004	158/172 (92%)	10/30 (33%)	21/27 (78%)		189/229 (83%)
2005	156/174 (90%)	10/31 (32%)	22/27 (81%)		188/232 (81%)
2006	156/175 (89%)	12/33 (36%)	20/28 (71%)		188/235 (80%)
2007	155/176 (88%)	10/30 (33%)	21/28 (75%)		186/234 (79%)
2008	151/181 (83%)	12/32 (38%)	20/30 (67%)	9/19 (47%)	192/264 (73%)
2009	147/184(80%)	13/31 (42%)	16/30 (53.3%)	12/20 (60%)	188/265 (71%)
2010	150/184 (82%)	12/30 (40%)	18/29 (62%)	15/22 (68%)	195/265 (74%)
2011	142/185 (77%)	13/31 (42%)	13/30 (43%)	16/21 (76%)	184/267 (69%)
2012	152/189 (80%)	11/32 (34%)	14/30 (47%)	16/26 (62%)	193/277 (70%)
2013	144/188 (77%)	10/30 (33%)	14/26 (54%)	11/22 (50%)	179/266 (67%)
2014	143/188 (76%)	13/31 (42%)	12/26 (46%)	13/19 (68%)	181/268 (68%)
2015	146/190(77%)	8/32 (25%)	12/26 (46%)	12/18 (67%)	178/266 (67%)
2016	150/188 (80%)	8/33 (24%)	11/26 (42%)	14/21 (67%)	183/268 (68%)
2017	148/192 (77%)	8/35 (23%)	11/30 (37%)	14/24 (58%)	181/281 (64%)
2018	143/195 (73%)	5/34 (15%)	12/30 (40%)	14/24 (58%)	174/283 (61%)
2019	148/192 (77%)	7/35 (20%)	11/29 (38%)	15/22 (68%)	181/278 (65%)

Doctoral Degree Production, Enrollment, and Employment

(Tables 1, D1-D10; Figures D1-D6)

Degree Production

Doctoral degree production increased in 2018-19, recovering to levels more comparable to those of two years ago. This year's respondents produced 13.2 degrees per U.S. CS department, and 12.2 degrees per department overall. This compares with 12.6 and 12.1, respectively, reported last year, and 13.1 and 12.4, respectively, reported two years ago. More departments (160) reported their Ph.D. production this year than did so last year (156). Total Ph.D. production in 2018-19 was 1,860 compared with 1,787 degrees produced in 2017-18 and 1,834 in 2016-17 (Table DI).

Among all departments reporting both this year and last year, the number of total doctoral degrees rose by 2.7 percent. However, among U.S. CS departments reporting both years, the increase was 6.9 percent (Table 1).

In 2018-19, women received 20.3 percent of CS doctoral degrees and 20.8 percent of all doctoral computing degrees (Table D2). Last year, the respective percentages were 19.3 and 21.3. Non-resident Aliens comprised a smaller percentage of 2018-19 Ph.D. recipients in all three areas (CS, CE and I) compared with 2017-18 recipients. This is the reverse of what was experienced last year. In contrast, resident Asians comprised a larger percentage compared with last year among recipients in all three areas

(Table D3). The combined percentage of CS doctoral graduates who were American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American,



Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, or Multiracial Non-Hispanic was only 3.1 percent; it was 3.8 percent in 2017-18.

Unlike in previous years, Non-resident Aliens comprised a somewhat smaller percentage of the CS female doctoral graduates than they did CS male graduates, and Whites comprised an equal percentage of the female and male graduates. In past years, Non-resident Aliens had a higher percentage of female than male CS graduates, while Whites had a higher percentage of male than female CS graduates (Table D9).

Doctoral Program Enrollment

Total doctoral enrollment increased by 1.4 percent, and increased 8.6 percent among programs that reported both years. If only U.S. computer science departments are considered, the respective increases were 4.2 and 8.5 percent (Table 1). For the fourth straight year, total doctoral enrollment by gender is more diverse. Across the three areas of CS, CE and I combined, the fraction of 2018-19 doctoral students who were women is 24.5 percent, versus 23.4 percent in 2017-18. In CS, women comprised 23.2 percent of the 2018-19 students currently enrolled, versus 22.3 percent the previous year (Table D7).

By contrast, doctoral enrollment by ethnicity was less diverse in 2018-19. The overall fraction of doctoral students who were neither Non-resident Aliens, Asian, nor White declined from 6.9 percent to 4.9 percent. In CS programs, the fraction declined from 7.0 to 4.5 percent (Table D8).

As has been true in previous years, Non-resident Aliens comprise a higher percentage of the enrolled CS women than they do the enrolled CS men, while a lower percentage of enrolled CS women than enrolled CS men are White. The same relationships hold for CE. In I, the same pattern holds for White students (they comprise a lower percentage of women than of men), but Non-resident Aliens also comprise a smaller percentage of enrolled women than of enrolled men (Table D10).

At U.S. CS departments, the average number of students per department who passed qualifier exams in 2018-19 was 17.0, similar to the 17.2 reported the previous year. Both public and private institutions reported slight declines this year, following two consecutive years of increases. The average number per U.S. CS department who passed thesis candidacy exams in 2018-19 (most, but not all, departments have such exams) also declined from 2017-18 at both public and private institutions (Table DI).

Table 1. Degree Production and Enrollment Change From Previous Year

			To	tal			(Only Depart	ments Re	sponding	Both Years	
		US CS Only		All	Departme	nts		US CS Only		All	Departmer	ıts
PhDs	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg
PhD Awarded	1,521	1,701	11.8%	1,787	1,860	4.1%	1,379	1,474	6.9%	1,584	1,626	2.7%
#Units PhD Awd	121	129	6.6%	148	153	3.4%	107	107		129	129	
PhD Enrollment	14,992	15,621	4.2%	17,110	17,355	1.4%	13,959	15,145	8.5%	15,502	16,832	8.6%
#Units PhD Enr	139	138	-0.7%	169	164	-3.0%	127	127		151	151	
New PhD Enroll	3,395	3,365	-0.9%	3,769	3,732	-1.0%	2,900	3,095	6.7%	3,321	3,574	7.6%
#Units New PhD	133	134	0.8%	162	161	-0.6%	117	117		145	145	
Bachelor's	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg	2018	2019	% chg
BS Awarded	28,698	29,377	2.4%	33,853	35,298	4.3%	25,799	27,790	7.7%	30,474	33,551	10.1%
#Units BS Awd	130	134	3.1%	155	159	2.6%	117	117		139	139	
BS Enrollment	141,259	143,457	1.6%	163,735	172,264	5.2%	121,863	130,942	7.5%	142,667	158,879	11.4%
#Units BS Enr	131	135	3.1%	156	160	2.6%	118	118		140	140	
New BS Majors	35,245	33,184	-5.8%	40,774	39,226	-3.8%	27,786	25,338	-8.8%	33,052	30,839	-6.7%
#Units New BS	112	121	8.0%	133	142	6.8%	98	98		115	115	
BS Enroll/Dept	1,078.3	1,062.6	-1.5%	1,050	1,077	2.6%	1,033	1109.7	7.5%	1019.1	1134.9	11.4%



The number of new Ph.D. students per department reporting increased again this year compared with last year's reporting departments for both U.S. I and Canadian departments (Tables I and D5). There was a decline again for CE departments, and a slight decline among U.S. CS departments. Among departments that reported both years, the number of new Ph.D. students increased 7.6 percent overall and 6.7 percent among U.S. CS departments.

The proportion of new doctoral students from outside North America rose this year to 61.2% from 59.3% last year. There were increases at U.S. CS and U.S. CE departments, while there were decreases in U.S. I departments. Canadian department proportions were similar to those of last year (Table D5a).

Figure D5 shows a graphical view of the Ph.D. pipeline for U.S. computer science and Canadian departments, the main producers of CS doctoral degrees. The data in this graph are normalized

Table DI. PhD Production and Pipeline by Department Type

Department	# Donto	PhDs A	warded	PhDs N	ext Year	Passed	Qualifier	Passed	d Thesis (if d	lept has)
Type	# Depts	#	Avg/ Dept	#	Avg/ Dept	#	Avg/ Dept	#	# Dept	Avg/ Dept
US CS Public	100	1,222	12.9	1,430	14.3	1,587	17.3	1168	76	15.4
US CS Private	34	479	14.1	603	17.7	576	16.5	246	24	10.3
US CS Total	134	1,701	13.2	2,033	15.2	2,163	17.0	1,414	100	14.1
US CE	5	23	4.6	48	9.6	14	4.7	12	3	4.0
US Info	12	55	5.5	105	8.8	113	9.4	92	11	8.4
Canadian	9	81	9.0	85	9.4	99	11.0	78	5	15.6
Grand Total	160	1,860	12.2	2,271	14.2	2,389	15.8	1,596	119	13.4

Table D2. PhDs Awarded by Gender

	C	S	С	E		I	To	tal
Male	1,313	79.7%	99	83.9%	58	63.0%	1,470	79.2%
Female	334	20.3%	19	16.1%	34	37.0%	387	20.8%
Total Known Gender	1,647		118		92		1,857	
Gender Unknown	2		0		1		3	
Grand Total	1,649		118		93		1,860	

Table D3. PhDs Awarded by Ethnicity

	C	:s	C	Ε		l	Т	otal
Nonresident Alien	906	61.7%	59	66.3%	26	31.0%	991	60.4%
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Asian	151	10.3%	10	11.2%	12	14.3%	173	10.5%
Black or African-American	13	0.9%	3	3.4%	2	2.4%	18	1.1%
Native Hawaiian/Pac Islander	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	3.6%	4	0.2%
White	365	24.9%	13	14.6%	40	47.6%	418	25.5%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	9	0.6%	3	3.4%	0	0.0%	12	0.7%
Hispanic, any race	21	1.4%	1	1.1%	1	1.2%	23	1.4%
Total Residency & Ethnicity Known	1,468		89		84		1,641	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	52		4		4		60	
Residency unknown	129		25		5		159	
Grand Total	1,649		118		93		1,860	



Table 54. Employment																							
	Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning	Computing Education	Databases / Information Retrieval	Graphics / Visualization	Hardware / Architecture	High-Performance Computing	Human-Computer Interaction	Informatics: Biomedical / Other Science	Information Science	Information Systems	Networks	Operating Systems	Programming Languages / Compilers	Robotics / Vision	Scientific / Numerical Computing	Security / Information Assurance	Social Computing / Social Informatics	Software Engineering	Theory and Algorithms	Other	Unknown	Total	
North American PhD Grar	nting	Dept	S.																				
Tenure-track	25	2	6	6	1	1	13	0	6	1	9	4	3	9	1	18	4	0	6	7	9	131	9.6%
Researcher	7	0	0	0	0	4	3	3	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	1	4	34	2.5%
Postdoc	29	1	8	5	4	2	5	15	4	2	7	6	7	11	2	11	8	4	25	5	11	172	12.6%
Teaching Faculty	4	5	4	2	2	2	7	1	2	1	1	3	0	3	2	1	1	5	3	1	4	54	4.0%
North American, Other Ac	adem	nic																				'	
Other CS/CE/I Dept.	0	4	4	2	1	0	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	0	0	4	3	0	2	32	2.3%
Non-CS/CE/I Dept	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	0.4%
North American, Non-Aca	demi	C														,							
Industry	180	4	55	33	32	19	28	18	9	6	35	28	16	58	4	40	16	52	38	46	59	776	57.0%
Government	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	5	0	1	0	3	2	32	2.3%
Self-Employed	6	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	13	1.0%
Unemployed	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.1%
Other	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	9	0.7%
Total Inside North Americ	ca																					'	
	255	18	79	50	40	33	62	44	22	13	54	46	26	91	14	77	31	69	78	64	94	1,260	92.5%
Outside North America																						'	
Ten-Track in PhD	4	0	4	2	0	1	0	2	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	6	0	1	3	0	2	33	2.4%
Researcher in PhD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.1%
Postdoc in PhD	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	4	1	1	16	1.2%
Teaching in PhD	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	12	0.9%
Other Academic	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	0.4%
Industry	10	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	1	1	5	0	1	30	2.2%
Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0.1%
Self-Employed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Unemployed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0.2%
Total Outside NA	19	1	6	3	0	2	2	5	3	4	5	6	2	3	1	12	1	4	15	1	7	102	7.5%
Total with Employment [)ata, I	nsid	e Nor	th Ar	neric	a plu	s Ou	tside	Nort	th An	neric	a											
	274	19	85	53	40	35	64	49	25	17	59	52	28	94	15	89	32	73	93	65	101	1,362	
Employment Type & Loca	tion (Jnkn	own																				
	38	0	14	21	13	1	5	15	13	2	20	4	4	9	3	9	1	8	14	21	283	498	
Grand Total	312	19	99	74	53	36	69	64	38	19	79	56	32	103	18	98	33	81	107	86	384	1,860	



by the number of reporting departments. The graph offsets the qualifier data by two years from the data for new students, and offsets the graduation data by five years from the data for new students. These data have been useful in estimating the timing of changes in production rates. The graph predicts larger growth beginning next year, and departments are indeed forecasting a double-digit percent increase in production during 2019-20

(Table DI). Last year's departmental forecast double-digit percentage increase in production did not materialize; much more modest growth was obtained.

Table D4a. Detail of Industry Employment

	arning		_					Science					ilers			a n	atics						
	Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning	Computing Education	Databases / Information Retrieval	Graphics / Visualization	Hardware / Architecture	High Performance Computing	Human-Computer Interaction	Informatics: Biomedical / Other S	Information Science	Information Systems	Networks	Operating Systems	Programming Languages / Compilers	Robotics / Vision	Scientific /N umerical Computing	Security / Information Assurance	Social Computing / Social Informatics	Software Engineering	Theory and Algorithms	Other .	Unknown	Total	
Inside North Americ	a																						
Research	122	3	28	21	22	12	19	11	8	4	21	9	8	41	3	18	11	18	19	28	17	443	57.1%
Non-Research	46	1	21	11	6	6	9	3	1	1	12	16	6	14	0	15	3	31	11	14	16	243	31.3%
Postdoctorate	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	4	15	1.9%
Type Not Specified	10	0	6	1	4	1	0	1	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	6	1	3	7	4	22	75	9.7%
Total Inside NA	180	4	55	33	32	19	28	18	9	6	35	28	16	58	4	40	16	52	38	46	59	776	
Outside North Amer	ica																						
Research	8	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	0	1	22	73.3%
Non-Research	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	16.7%
Postdoctorate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.3%
Type Not Specified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	6.7%
Total Outside NA	10	,	١,	١,	0	٠,	0	١,	0	0	0	4	0	١,	0	2	٠,	١,	5	0		30	

Table D5. New PhD Students by Department Type

		C	:S			С	Ε						Tot	tal
Department Type	New Admit	MS to PhD	Total	Avg. per Dept.	New Admit	MS to PhD	Total	Avg. per Dept.	New Admit	MS to PhD	Total	Avg. per Dept.	Total	Avg. per Dept
US CS Public	2,026	178	2,204	22.7	114	15	129	7.6	86	8	94	13.4	2,427	24.8
US CS Private	853	59	912	25.3	8	2	10	2.5	16	0	16	8.0	938	26.1
US CS Total	2,879	237	3,116	23.4	122	17	139	6.6	102	8	110	12.2	3,365	25.1
US CE	0	0	0	0.0	39	8	47	9.4	0	0	0	0.0	47	9.4
US Information	12	0	12	6.0	0	0	0	0.0	132	14	146	11.2	158	12.2
Canadian	136	26	162	18.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	162	18.0
Grand Total	3,027	263	3,290	22.8	161	25	186	7.2	234	22	256	11.6	3,732	23.2



Table D5a. New PhD Students from Outside North America

Department Type	cs	CE	I	Total New Outside	Total New	% outside North America
US CS Public	1,410	86	39	1,535	2,427	63.2%
US CS Private	523	7	12	542	938	57.8%
Total US CS	1,933	93	51	2,077	3,365	61.7%
US CE	0	37	0	37	47	78.7%
US Info	5	0	84	89	158	56.3%
Canadian	80	0	0	80	162	49.4%
Grand Total	2,018	130	135	2,283	3,732	61.2%

Table D6. PhD Enrollment by Department Type

Department Type	# Depts	C	:s	C	E		I	То	tal
US CS Public	100	10,149	66.2%	747	76.8%	364	35.0%	11,260	64.9%
US CS Private	38	4,263	27.8%	58	6.0%	40	3.8%	4,361	25.1%
Total US CS	138	14,412	93.9%	805	82.7%	404	38.8%	15,621	90.0%
US CE	5	0	0.0%	168	17.3%	0	0.0%	168	1.0%
US Info	12	102	0.7%	0	0.0%	636	61.2%	738	4.3%
Canadian	9	828	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	828	4.8%
Grand Total	164	15,342		973		1,040		17,355	

Table D7. PhD Enrollment by Gender

	C	:S	С	E		l	То	tal
Male	11,527	76.8%	726	76.1%	579	55.8%	12,832	75.5%
Female	3,477	23.2%	228	23.9%	459	44.2%	4,164	24.5%
Total Known Gender	15,004		954		1,038		16,996	
Gender Unknown	338		19		2		359	
Grand Total	15,342		973		1,040		17,355	

Table D8. PhD Enrollment by Ethnicity

	C	S	C	E		I	To	tal
Nonresident Alien	9,205	66.1%	685	72.1%	534	54.4%	10,424	65.8%
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	18	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	0.1%
Asian	1088	7.8%	53	5.6%	60	6.1%	1201	7.6%
Black or African-American	222	1.6%	18	1.9%	45	4.6%	285	1.8%
Native Hawaiian / Pac Islander	9	0.1%	2	0.2%	9	0.9%	20	0.1%
White	2,995	21.5%	160	16.8%	294	29.9%	3,449	21.8%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	115	0.8%	10	1.1%	16	1.6%	141	0.9%
Hispanic, any race	269	1.9%	22	2.3%	24	2.4%	315	2.0%
Total Known	13,921		950		982		15,853	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	405		23		58		486	
Residency unknown	1016		0		0		1016	
Grand Total	15,342		973		1,040		17,355	



Table D9. PhDs Awarded by Gender and Ethnicity, From 153 Departments

			cs					CE					ı			Ethn Tot	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	723	183	0	62	59	51	8	0	68	57	19	7	0	36	23	991	60.4
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.1
Asian	112	39	0	10	13	8	2	0	11	14	8	4	0	15	13	173	10.5
Black or African- American	10	3	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	7	1	1	0	2	3	18	1.1
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	4	0.2
White	289	76	0	25	25	10	3	0	13	21	21	19	0	40	61	418	25.5
Multiracial, not Hispanic	6	3	0	1	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.7
Hispanic, any race	17	4	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	23	1.4
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	1,160	308	0	0	0	75	14	0			53	31	0			1,641	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	42	8	2			4	0	0			3	1	0			60	
Not Reported (N/R)	111	18	0			20	5	0			2	2	1			159	
Gender Totals	1,313	334	2			99	19	0			58	34	1			1,860	
%	79.7%	20.3%				83.9%	16.1%				63.0%	37.0%					
* % of M and % of F	columns	are the	percen	t of tha	t gend	er who a	re of th	e speci	fied etl	hnicity,	of those	whose	ethnici	ty is kı	าอพท		

Table D10. PhD Enrollment by Gender and Ethnicity, From 164 Departments Providing Breakdown Data

			cs					CE					I			Ethn Tot	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	6,939	2,154	112	66	68	493	183	9	70	82	306	228	0	56	52	10,424	65.8%
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0.1%
Asian	788	296	4	7	9	44	8	1	6	4	31	29	0	6	7	1201	7.6%
Black or African- American	147	75	0	1	2	13	4	1	2	2	16	28	1	3	6	285	1.8%
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	3	5	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	5	4	0	1	1	20	0.1%
White	2,408	554	33	23	18	130	24	6	18	11	168	125	1	31	29	3,449	21.8%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	94	20	1	1	1	8	0	2	1	0	7	9	0	1	2	141	0.9%
Hispanic, any race	204	61	4	2	2	19	3	0	3	1	10	14	0	2	3	315	2.0%
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	10,598	3,168	155			707	224				543	437	2			15,853	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	292	113	0			19	4				36	22	0			486	
Not Reported (N/R)	637	196	183			0	0				0	0	0			1016	
Gender Totals	11,527	3,477	338			726	228				579	459	2			17,355	
%	76.8%	23.2%				76.1%	23.9%				55.8%	44.2%				0.0%	





Ph.D. Employment

Figure D6 shows the employment trend of new Ph.D.s in academia and industry within North America, those taking employment outside of North America, and those going to academia in North America who took positions in departments other than Ph.D.-granting CS and CE departments. Table D4 shows a more detailed breakdown of the employment data for new Ph.D.s. The percentage of new Ph.D.s who took positions in North American industry was 57.0 percent, the same as the percentage reported last year. Among those doctoral graduates who went to North American industry and for whom the type of industry position was known, about 63 percent took research positions (Table D4a). This also is the percentage reported last year. This year, definitive data was provided for over 90 percent of the graduates who went to North American industry, slightly lower than last year's 92 percent.

The percentage of Ph.D. graduates who took North American academic jobs in 2018-19 (31.5) also was similar in that reported for 2017-18 (31.2). Among those graduates taking academic positions in North America, the percentage who did not go to a doctoral-granting computing department was 8.9, compared to 5.7 in 2017-18. This number has oscillated for the last several years, so this rise should not be interpreted as any indication of a trend.

Among those whose employment is known, 7.5 percent of Ph.D. graduates reported taking positions outside of North America, similar to the reported values in each of the past two years. Slightly higher percentages of these persons went to an industry, tenure-track academic, and academic postdoctoral positions than

did so last year. A slightly lower percentage went to academic researcher and government positions. However, most of the doctoral graduates who went to non-North American industry positions took research positions. Definitive data was provided for 93 percent of the graduates who went to non-North American industry positions.


When academic and industry postdocs are combined, the result is that 15.0 percent of 2018-19 doctoral graduates whose employment was known took some type of postdoctoral position. Last year, the reported percentage was 14.8. Approximately eight percent of these were industry postdocs.


Of those doctoral graduates for whom employment information was known, only one person was reported as unemployed. However, 26.8 percent of new Ph.D.s' employment status was unknown. The lack of information about the employment of more than one in four graduates may skew the real overall percentages for certain employment categories.

Table D4 also indicates the areas of specialty of new Ph.D.s. Artificial intelligence/machine learning continues to be by far the most popular area, comprising nearly 17 percent of all doctoral degrees awarded. However, this year, theory/algorithms and robotics/vision were next highest, replacing software engineering and networks. The fourth most popular specialty area both last year and this year is security/information assurance. Approximately one in five of the Ph.D.s are categorized into the area "unknown."





























Master's and Bachelor's Degree Production and Enrollments

This section reports data about enrollment and degree production for master's and bachelor's programs in the doctoral-granting departments. Although the absolute number of degrees and enrolled students reported herein only reflect departments that offer the doctoral degree, the trends observed in the master's and bachelor's data from these departments tend to strongly reflect trends in the larger population of programs that offer such degrees.

Master's

(Tables M1-M8; Figures M1-M2)

On a per department basis, 2018-19 CS master's degree production in U.S. CS departments rose by 8.1 percent compared with 2017-18. The production at public institutions rose 16.7 percent, while that at private institutions declined by 6.4 percent. Each of these comparisons with 2017-18 is in the reverse direction of the year-to-year comparison reported in last year's survey.

Overall master's degree production per department in 2018-19 rose 7.1 percent aggregated over all departments, 6.1 percent at U.S. CS departments and 9.1 percent at Information departments. Canadian production per department showed a 46 percent increase, but with only nine departments reporting versus 11 last year, this comparison may well be skewed by the difference in

departments reporting in the two respective years. No comparison is made for the CE area due to the even smaller number of departments reporting (Table MI).

The proportion of female graduates among CS master's degree recipients rose from 26.5 percent to 27.2 percent. Women comprised 24.6 percent of the CE graduates, down from 27.5 percent, and the I area graduated more women than men among those whose gender was reported (53.9 percent, versus 48.8 percent in last year's report). Aggregating all areas, the percentage of master's degrees to women increased from 30.6 to 31.2 percent (Table M2).

In CS, 68.8 percent of master's degrees went to Non-resident Aliens, slightly lower than the 70.0 percent in 2017-18. The percentage of Non-resident Aliens also dropped slightly in the I area, from 44.4 percent to 43.3 percent. The CE area statistics are more volatile due to the smaller number of units reporting; the percentage of CE degrees going to Non-resident Aliens increased from 70.8 to 79.8 percent. The aggregate percentage over all three areas dipped slightly, from 65.4 to 64.7 percent. The percentage of CS master's recipients among American Indian/Alaska Native, Black/African-American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, and Multiracial was approximately 4.0 percent in 2018-19 versus 3.6 percent in 2017-18 (Table M3).

Table MI. Master's Degrees Awarded by Department Type

Department Type	# Depts	c	S	С	Ε			То	tal
US CS Public	100	8,621	58.5%	383	54.3%	487	15.7%	9,491	51.2%
US CS Private	36	5,235	35.5%	89	12.6%	615	19.9%	5,939	32.0%
Total US CS	136	13,856	94.0%	472	67.0%	1,102	35.6%	15,430	83.3%
US CE	5	0	0.0%	223	31.6%	0	0.0%	223	1.2%
US Info	12	47	0.3%	0	0.0%	1,992	64.4%	2,039	11.0%
Canadian	9	832	5.6%	10	1.4%	0	0.0%	842	4.5%
Grand Total	162	14,735		705		3,094		18,534	

Table M2. Master's Degrees Awarded by Gender

	С	S	С	E			То	tal
Male	10,404	72.8%	483	75.4%	1,261	46.1%	12,148	68.8%
Female	3,888	27.2%	158	24.6%	1,473	53.9%	5,519	31.2%
Total Known Gender	14,292		641		2,734		17,667	
Gender Unknown	443		64		360		867	
Grand Total	14,735		705		3,094		18,534	



Table M3. Master's Degrees Awarded by Ethnicity

	(CS	C	E		I	To	tal
Nonresident Alien	9,042	68.8%	497	79.8%	1,261	43.3%	10,800	64.7%
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	7	0.0%
Asian	1,547	11.8%	28	4.5%	412	14.1%	1,987	11.9%
Black or African-American	155	1.2%	5	0.8%	133	4.6%	293	1.8%
Native Hawaiian/Pac Island	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.3%	14	0.1%
White	2,032	15.5%	72	11.6%	931	32.0%	3,035	18.2%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	86	0.7%	8	1.3%	62	2.1%	156	0.9%
Hispanic, any race	276	2.1%	13	2.1%	105	3.6%	394	2.4%
Total Residency & Ethnicity Known	13,150		623		2,913		16,686	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	477		9		168		654	
Residency unknown	1,108		73		13		1,194	
Grand Total	14,735		705		3,094		18,534	

Table M4. Master's Degrees Expected Next Year by Department Type

Department Type	# Depts	C	:s	C	E		I	To	otal
US CS Public	99	8,494	59.5%	296	51.4%	367	11.7%	9,157	50.9%
US CS Private	34	5,224	36.6%	96	16.7%	521	16.7%	5,841	32.5%
Total US CS	133	13,718	96.1%	392	68.1%	888	28.4%	14,998	83.4%
US CE	5	0	0.0%	179	31.1%	0	0.0%	179	1.0%
US Info	12	43	0.3%	0	0.0%	2,236	71.6%	2,279	12.7%
Canadian	9	517	3.6%	5	0.9%	0	0.0%	522	2.9%
Grand Total	159	14,278		618		3,124		17,978	

Table M5. New Master's Students by Department Type

Donout-mont		CS			CE			I		Total Depts C .9 10,420 102 .5 5,760 35 9.1 16,180 137		Outside Ame		
Department Type	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total		Avg. per Dept.	# Depts	%
US CS Public	9,733	102	95.4	313	22	14.2	374	15	24.9	10,420	102	102.2	6,401	61.4%
US CS Private	5,292	35	151.2	21	4	5.3	447	6	74.5	5,760	35	164.6	3,810	66.1%
Total US CS	15,025	137	109.7	334	26	12.8	821	21	39.1	16,180	137	118.1	10,211	63.1%
US CE	0	0	0.0	194	5	38.8	0	0	0.0	194	5	38.8	157	80.9%
US Information	88	2	44.0	0	0	0.0	2,081	13	160.1	2,169	13	166.8	941	43.4%
Canadian	479	9	53.2	52	2	26.0	0	0	0.0	531	9	59.0	353	66.5%
Grand Total	15,592	148	105.4	580	33	17.6	2,902	34	85.4	19,074	164	116.3	11,662	61.1%



Table M6. Total Master's Students by Department Type

		CS			CE			I			Total	
Department Type	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.	Total	# Depts	Avg. per Dept.
US CS Public	25,977	101	257.2	908	20	45.4	1,205	13	92.7	28,090	102	275.4
US CS Private	12293	37	332.2	181	5	36.2	1391	5	278.2	13865	37	374.7
Total US CS	38,270	138	277.3	1,089	25	43.6	2,596	18	144.2	41,955	139	301.8
US CE	0	0	0.0	373	5	74.6	0	0	0.0	373	5	74.6
US Information	121	2	60.5	0	0	0.0	4675	13	359.6	4796	13	368.9
Canadian	2850	9	316.7	39	1	39.0	0	0	0.0	2889	9	321.0
Grand Total	41,241	149	276.8	1,501	31	48.4	7,271	31	234.5	50,013	166	301.3

Table M7. Masters Degrees Awarded by Gender and Ethnicity, From 164 Departments Providing Breakdown Data

		C	:s					CE					ı			Ethni Tota	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	6,213	2,607	222	66	75	362	135	0	77	88	600	525	136	51	38	10,800	64.7
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0.0
Asian	1102	440	5	12	13	19	9	0	4	6	125	194	93	11	14	1987	11.9
Black or African- American	112	39	4	1	1	5	0	0	1	0	47	61	25	4	4	293	1.8
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	14	0.1
White	1,705	310	17	18	9	64	8	0	14	5	319	540	72	27	39	3,035	18.2
Multiracial, not Hispanic	72	11	3	1	0	7	1	0	2	1	21	33	8	2	2	156	0.9
Hispanic, any race	206	68	2	2	2	12	1	0	3	1	55	42	8	5	3	394	2.4
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	9,419	3,477	254			469	154	0			1,172	1,396	345			16,686	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	323	151	3			5	2	2			86	75	7			654	
Not Reported (N/R)	662	260	186			9	2	62			3	2	8			1194	
Gender Totals	10,404	3,888	443			483	158	64			1,261	1,473	360			18,534	
%	72.8%	27.2%				75.4%	24.6%				46.1%	53.9%					
* % of M and % of F co	olumns are	the perc	cent of	f that o	ender	who are	of the s	pecifie	ed ethr	nicity, (of those	whose	ethnic	ity is k	nown		



As has been the case in recent years, Non-resident Aliens again comprised a much larger proportion of female CS and CE degree recipients than male CS and CE degree recipients, while larger percentage of male CS and CE degree recipients than female CS and CE degree recipients than female CS and CE degree recipients were White (Table M7). In the I area, Non-resident Aliens again comprised a larger percentage of male master's graduates than female master's graduates, while a smaller percentage of male master's graduates than female master's graduates were White. These trends are likely to continue into the near future based on the current enrollment breakdown by gender and ethnicity (Table M8).


The average number of new master's students enrolled in U.S. CS departments dipped from 123.5 to 118.1. The decline is due to public departments; private departments actually experienced slight increases (Table M5).


The fraction of new master's students in U.S. CS departments that is reported to be from outside North America in 2019-20 was 63.1 percent, compared with 60.0 percent in 2018-19 (Table M5). The 2019-20 level is close to the level reported two years ago. Both public and private institutions saw an increase in this statistic. At U.S. Information departments, the fraction of new master's students from outside North America also rose, from 40.2 percent to 43.4 percent, ending a two-year decline.

Table M8. Masters Enrollment by Gender and Ethnicity, From 166 Departments Providing Breakdown Data

			cs					CE				Fem N/R % of M* 1.281 3 44 6 0 0 0 319 0 9 205 0 5 0 0 1.408 3 33 74 0 2 156 0 6 3,449 6			Ethnio Tota		
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R		% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	15,752	6,682	155	59	73	704	288	0	70	84	1,427	1,281	3	44	37	26,292	59.2
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	34	0.1
Asian	2,880	983	61	11	11	55	13	0	6	4	295	319	0	9	9	4,606	10.4
Black or African- American	507	174	34	2	2	24	1	0	2	0	175	205	0	5	6	1,120	2.5
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	22	0.0
White	6,314	1,007	131	24	11	160	23	0	16	7	1,075	1,408	3	33	41	10,121	22.8
Multiracial, not Hispanic	311	87	6	1	1	9	3	0	1	1	59	74	0	2	2	549	1.2
Hispanic, any race	1,028	203	9	4	2	51	14	0	5	4	186	156	0	6	5	1,647	3.7
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	26,826	9,141	396			1,004	342	0			3,227	3,449	6			44,391	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	1,000	287	21			11	4	1			154	159	0			1,637	
Not Reported (N/R)	1,866	833	871			27	12	100			1	0	275			3,985	
Gender Totals	29,692	10,261	1,288			1,042	358	101			3,382	3,608	281			50,013	
%	74.3%	25.7%				74.4%	25.6%				48.4%	51.6%					
* % of M and % of F col	umns are	the perc	ent of t	hat ge	nder v	vho are	of the sp	ecifie	d ethn	icity,	of those	whose e	thnici	ty is k	nown		









Bachelor's

(Tables 1, B1-B8; Figures B1-B4)

Growth in bachelor's degree production abated this year, ending a five-year run of double-digit percent increases. Total degrees produced across all three areas of computing was 4.3 percent higher among this year's reporting departments compared with last year's reporting departments. The increase in CS degrees produced was 6.8 percent. However, it is important to calibrate these changes based on the set of departments reporting each

year. On a per-department basis, total degree production rose overall by 1.6 percent across all department types and declined by 0.7 percent in U.S. CS departments. Computer science degree production rose 2.4 percent in U.S. CS departments, but only 2.0 percent per department. When considering only those departments that reported both years, the increase in total degree production across the CS, CE and I areas was 10.1 percent among all departments and 7.7 percent among U.S. CS departments (Tables 1 and BI).

Table B1. Bachelor's Degrees Awarded by Department Type

Department Type	# Depts	С	s	C	E		I	To	tal
US CS Public	97	18,987	66.6%	1,718	74.2%	1,863	41.8%	22,568	63.9%
US CS Private	37	6,231	21.8%	247	10.7%	331	7.4%	6,809	19.3%
Total US CS	134	25,218	88.4%	1,965	84.9%	2,194	49.2%	29,377	83.2%
US CE	5	0	0.0%	317	13.7%	0	0.0%	317	0.9%
US Info	11	355	1.2%	0	0.0%	2,263	50.8%	2,618	7.4%
Canadian	9	2,954	10.4%	32	1.4%	0	0.0%	2,986	8.5%
Grand Total	159	28,527		2,314		4,457		35,298	

Table B2. Bachelor's Degrees Awarded by Gender

	CS		С	E			To	tal
Male	20,991	79.0%	1,879	85.4%	3,216	72.2%	26,086	78.5%
Female	5,572	21.0%	320	14.6%	1,236	27.8%	7,128	21.5%
Total Known Gender	26,563		2,199		4,452		33,214	
Gender Unknown	1,964		115		5		2,084	
Grand Total	28,527		2,314		4,457		35,298	

Table B3. Bachelor's Degrees Awarded by Ethnicity

	С	:S	С	E			To	tal
Nonresident Alien	3,307	14.5%	194	9.9%	316	7.5%	3,817	13.2%
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	51	0.2%	5	0.3%	10	0.2%	66	0.2%
Asian	6,128	27.0%	352	17.9%	895	21.2%	7,375	25.5%
Black or African-American	755	3.3%	87	4.4%	346	8.2%	1,188	4.1%
Native Hawaiian/Pac Islander	36	0.2%	12	0.6%	10	0.2%	58	0.2%
White	9,939	43.7%	1,015	51.5%	1,958	46.3%	12,912	44.6%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	715	3.1%	88	4.5%	202	4.8%	1,005	3.5%
Hispanic, any race	1,800	7.9%	216	11.0%	490	11.6%	2,506	8.7%
Total Residency & Ethnicity Known	22,731		1,969		4,227		28,927	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	1,297		64		130		1,491	
Residency unknown	4,499		281		100		4,880	
Grand Total	28,527		2,314		4,457		35,298	



Table B4. Bachelor's Degrees Expected Next Year by Department Type

Department Type	# Depts	C	:s	C	E.		I	Total		
US CS Public	91	19,504	64.4%	1,572	64.6%	1,282	36.3%	22,358	61.7%	
US CS Private	33	6,273	20.7%	315	12.9%	322	9.1%	6,910	19.1%	
Total US CS	124	25,777	85.1%	1,887	77.6%	1,604	45.4%	29,268	80.8%	
US CE	5	0	0.0%	357	14.7%	0	0.0%	357	1.0%	
US Info	11	330	1.1%	0	0.0%	1,926	54.6%	2,256	6.2%	
Canadian	9	4,170	13.8%	189	7.8%	0	0.0%	4,359	12.0%	
Grand Total	149	30,277		2,433		3,530		36,240		

Table B5. New Bachelor's Students by Department Type

		C	S			С	E						Tot	al
Department Type	Major	Pre- Major	# Depts	Avg. Major /Dept	Total	Pre- Major	# Depts	Avg. Major /Dept	Total	Pre- Major	# Depts	Avg. Major /Dept	Total Major	Avg. Major /Dept
US CS Public	23,731	10,062	92	257.9	1,800	1,373	31	58.1	764	377	19	40.2	26,295	285.8
US CS Private	6,258	1,989	29	215.8	233	160	8	29.1	398	27	7	56.9	6,889	237.6
Total US CS	29,989	12,051	121	247.8	2,033	1,533	39	52.1	1,162	404	26	44.7	33,184	274.2
US CE	0	0	0	0.0	200	291	3	66.7	0	0	0	0.0	200	66.7
US Information	429	191	2	214.5	0	0	0	0.0	1,289	347	9	143.2	1,718	190.9
Canadian	3,987	1,388	9	443.0	137	0	3	45.7	0	0	0	0.0	4,124	458.2
Grand Total	34,405	13,630	132	260.6	2,370	1,824	45	52.7	2,451	751	35	70.0	39,226	276.2

Table B6. Total Bachelor's Enrollment by Department Type

		CS	3			С	E						Tot	al
Department Type	Major	Pre- Major	# Depts	Avg. Major /Dept	Total	Pre- Major	# Depts	Avg. Major /Dept	Total	Pre- Major	# Dept	Avg. Major /Dept	Total Major	Avg. Major /Dept
US CS Public	97,260	19,722	97	1,002.7	8,948	2,572	33	271.2	7,033	990	20	351.7	113,241	1,155.5
US CS Private	27,590	3,949	37	745.7	893	438	7	127.6	1,733	51	6	288.8	30,216	816.6
Total US CS	124,850	23,671	134	931.7	9,841	3,010	40	246.0	8,766	1,041	26	337.2	143,457	1,062.6
US CE	0	0	0	0.0	1,699	609	5	339.8	0	0	0	0.0	1,699	339.8
US Information	1,382	447	2	691.0	0	0	0	0.0	8,366	794	11	760.5	9,748	886.2
Canadian	17,055	1,355	9	1,895.0	305	213	1	305.0	0	0	0	0.0	17,360	1,928.9
Grand Total	143,287	25,473	145	988.2	11,845	3,832	46	257.5	17,132	1,835	37	463.0	172,264	1,076.7

Figure B1 shows the trend in total computing bachelor's degree production since 1995 for all departments reporting to the Taulbee Survey. Based on current and recent enrollments, additional growth in CS bachelor's degree production seems likely for a little while.

The smaller increase in the number of bachelor's degrees last year is coupled with a decrease in the number of new undergraduate computing majors, ending an eleven year string of increases. While there were more new CS majors reported this year than last year,

when the increased number of departments reporting this year is accounted for, there actually was a decrease in the average new CS majors per department. Across all departments, there was a 5.4 percent decrease, from 275.4 to 260.6. Among U.S. CS departments, the decrease was 7.1 percent, from 266.7 to 247.8 (Table B5). When CS, CE, and I majors are aggregated, the decrease in new majors per department is 3.8 percent among all departments and 5.8 percent among U.S. CS departments. The decrease in new majors



is 6.7 percent when considering only those departments reporting both this year and last year, and 8.8 percent when considering U.S. CS departments reporting both years (Table 1). Figure B2 illustrates the trend in the total number of newly declared computing undergraduate majors as reported in the Taulbee Survey.

Despite the decrease in new majors, more total majors were reported this year than last year. At U.S. CS departments, the total number of majors in CS, CE, and I combined increased 1.6 percent, while among all departments it increased 5.2 percent. However, when normalized for the number of departments reporting, enrollment decreased 1.5 percent at U.S. CS departments and increased only 2.6 percent among all departments. When only departments reporting both years are considered, the respective increases are 7.5 and 11.4 percent (Table 1). Looking only at CS enrollment, the increase in majors per department reporting is 7.9 percent for all departments combined, and 4.8 percent for U.S. CS departments (Table B6).

Per-department averages smooth out comparisons from year to year when there are differences in the number of reporting departments, but the averages include both very large and very small departments. Figures B3 and B4 show the distribution of number of degrees awarded (Figure B3) and total enrollment (Figure B4) per tenured or tenure-track faculty member, in department size groupings for the U.S. CS departments. Larger departments tend to produce more bachelor's degrees per tenure-track faculty member than do smaller departments at both public and private institutions. However, neither public nor private institutions show a clear relationship between faculty size and enrollment per tenure-track faculty member.

Figure B5 shows the enrollment trend from Taulbee Survey data since this surge began. It illustrates both the decline in average new majors per department in the current (2019-20) academic year and the twelve consecutive years of growth in average total majors per department through academic year 2018-19. The average enrollment per U.S. CS department increased over 380 percent during that period; that is nearly a quintupling from its level in fall 2006. For the past six years, it has exceeded the previous peak reached during the dot-com enrollment surge.

Another view of bachelor's enrollments can be gleaned from CS course-level data. Such data was first reported in CRA's Generation-CS report for the fall terms in 2005, 2010 and 2015. The

Taulbee Survey began collecting follow-up data in the 2016 survey, and now does so annually. Table B9 shows four-year enrollment trends for the four types of courses for which data is collected (representative introductory course for non-majors, introductory course for majors, mid-level course, and upper-level course). For each type of course, only those departments are included that reported data for each of the four years and reported on the same course in each of the four years. The data indicate that median enrollment in the introductory course for non-majors. the introductory course for CS majors, and the mid-level course each is at its highest level in 2018 among the four years 2016-19. None of the courses show a steadily increasing median over the four-year period. The introductory course for non-majors had it lowest median enrollment in 2019, while the upper level course had its highest median level in 2019. The table further shows that, in the course for majors at each of the introductory, mid and upper levels, the median percent of majors in the course was lower in 2019 than in 2018. Finally, the table shows a steady increase over the four-year period in the representation of women in the introductory courses for both majors and non-majors, and a steady increase in the representation of underrepresented minority students in the upper-level.

Gender diversity among bachelor's graduates, both overall and in CS, rose very slightly in 2018-19. Women comprised 21.5 percent of all graduates and 21.0 percent of CS graduates in 2018-2019, compared with respective percentages of 21.2 and 20.9 in 2017-18. The percentage of women among I graduates also increased, from 26.8 percent to 27.8 percent, but the percentage of women among CE bachelor's graduates was 14.6 percent compared with the 15.6 percent reported last year (Table B2).

The percentage of CS bachelor's graduates who are White again declined, from 45.4 percent in 2017-18 to 43.7 percent in 2018-19, while the percentage awarded to Asians rose from 26.5 percent to 27.0 percent and the percentage awarded to Non-resident Aliens rose from 13.9 percent to 14.5 percent. All other ethnicities combined comprise 14.7 percent of those for whom ethnicity is known, up from 14.2 percent last year. Hispanics make up the largest share of these other ethnicities at 8.0 percent. In aggregate across the three areas of computing, 44.6 percent of the graduates were White, 25.5 percent Asian, 13.2 percent Non-resident Aliens, and 16.7 percent all other ethnicity categories combined. However, in I programs, the other ethnicity categories





Table B7. Bachelors Degrees Awarded by Gender and Ethnicity, From 159 Departments Providing Breakdown Data

			CS					CE					I			Ethnic Tota	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	2,335	877	95	14	19	168	26	0	10	9	208	106	2	7	9	3,817	13.2
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	41	9	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	66	0.2
Asian	4,266	1,594	268	25	35	278	74	0	17	25	558	337	0	18	29	7,375	25.5
Black or African- American	566	160	29	3	4	71	16	0	4	5	239	107	0	8	9	1,188	4.1
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	30	5	1	0	0	9	3	0	1	1	2	8	0	0	1	58	0.2
White	8,124	1,465	350	47	32	889	124	2	53	42	1,504	454	0	49	39	12,912	44.6
Multiracial, not Hispanic	543	140	32	3	3	76	12	0	5	4	136	66	0	5	6	1,005	3.5
Hispanic, any race	1,440	322	38	8	7	177	39	0	11	13	395	95	0	13	8	2,506	8.7
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	17,345	4,572	814			1,672	295	2			3,049	1,176	2			28,927	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	1,002	268	27			55	8	1			93	35	2			1,491	
Not Reported (N/R)	2,644	732	1,123			152	17	112			74	25	1			4,880	
Gender Totals	20,991	5,572	1,964			1,879	320	115			3,216	1,236	5			35,298	
%	79.0%	21.0%				85.4%	14.6%				72.2%	27.8%					
* % of M and % of F	columns	are the	percent	of that	t gende	er who a	re of the	specif	ied eth	nicity,	of those	whose	ethnici	ty is kn	own	·	

Table B8. Bachelors Enrollment by Gender and Ethnicity, From 160 Departments Providing Breakdown Data

			cs					CE					I			Ethnic Tota	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	10,842	3,411	91	13	16	804	153	20	9	10	764	411	0	7	10	16,496	12.4
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	212	56	3	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	26	9	0	0	0	323	0.2
Asian	19,508	7,005	57	23	32	1,645	448	12	19	28	1,729	951	0	15	23	31,355	23.5
Black or African- American	3,767	1,276	20	4	6	528	114	7	6	7	999	444	0	9	11	7,155	5.4
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	105	27	0	0	0	22	9	0	0	1	16	10	0	0	0	189	0.1
White	38,454	7,005	276	45	32	4,238	600	72	48	37	6,140	1,660	2	53	40	58,447	43.9
Multiracial, not Hispanic	3,206	950	24	4	4	376	63	5	4	4	471	221	0	4	5	5,316	4.0
Hispanic, any race	8,610	1,926	106	10	9	1,177	220	18	13	14	1,483	459	0	13	11	13,999	10.5
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	84,704	21,656	577			8,805	1,609	134			11,628	4,165	2			133,280	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	4,110	1,048	389			235	43	1			249	101	3			6,179	
Not Reported (N/R)	15,249	4,693	10,861			581	104	333			141	34	809			32,805	
Gender Totals	104,063	27,397	11,827			9,621	1,756	468			12,018	4,300	814			172,264	
%	79.2%	20.8%				84.6%	15.4%				73.6%	26.4%					
* % of M and % of F	columns a	re the per	cent of t	hat ge	nder w	ho are of	the spe	cified e	thnici	ty, of t	hose wh	ose ethni	icity is	knowr			



Table B9. Undergrad Representative Course Enroll 2016-2019, Department-Level Percentiles

Nu	ımber of	Student	s Repor	ted		% Wh	o Are M	ajors			% Who	Are Wo	omen			% URM	1 at No	n-MSI	
Intro-L	evel for	Non Majo	ors																
(N=51)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=31)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=29)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=23)	2016	2017	2018	2019
25	68.0	64.0	77.0	78.0	25	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	25	23.1	22.8	22.8	24.9	25	7.7	13.2	3.3	9.9
50	191.0	197.0	200.0	158.0	50	4.6	2.9	2.5	3.8	50	35.3	37.9	38.1	39.3	50	19.1	21.3	17.1	17.6
75	299.0	367.0	356.0	346.0	75	12.9	12.6	14.3	15.0	75	47.8	47.2	50.3	46.5	75	32.0	34.9	29.4	37.1
Intro fo	or Majors	5																	
(N=61)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=41)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=36)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=29)	2016	2017	2018	2019
25	184.5	181.0	171.0	220.5	25	18.4	18.5	16.9	10.7	25	16.3	17.5	17.9	18.4	25	4.9	9.7	8.9	10.2
50	276.0	303.0	346.0	337.0	50	46.4	43.3	47.0	34.2	50	19.5	21.3	21.6	25.4	50	16.2	18.9	14.6	16.4
75	449.5	495.0	603.5	588.5	75	78.7	74.4	71.0	60.7	75	29.8	32.2	35.4	33.9	75	23.3	27.2	25.5	28.0
Mid-Lev	vel																		
(N=61)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=43)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=36)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=26)	2016	2017	2018	2019
25	88.0	103.5	109.0	117.5	25	41.5	37.9	50.0	43.5	25	14.0	13.5	16.9	16.6	25	7.9	9.6	8.6	10.1
50	147.0	158.0	189.0	181.0	50	69.4	60.6	74.8	64.6	50	19.6	19.5	21.8	19.7	50	13.0	16.2	12.9	14.6
75	267.5	336.0	326.0	355.0	75	86.1	87.0	88.9	87.9	75	28.8	30.8	29.5	31.0	75	29.9	28.6	29.0	20.2
Upper-	Level																		
(N=61)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=42)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=36)	2016	2017	2018	2019	(N=27)	2016	2017	2018	2019
25	51.0	64.5	74.0	76.0	25	69.6	67.1	67.7	71.7	25	11.0	11.6	12.6	12.3	25	4.8	7.1	5.1	6.7
50	101.0	120.0	118.0	121.0	50	89.0	83.6	89.7	87.7	50	16.4	18.2	16.1	17.8	50	9.2	11.3	12.0	12.5
75	170.0	185.5	210.5	264.0	75	98.5	96.3	97.4	97.4	75	22.9	27.1	27.1	25.7	75	22.5	28.8	26.3	28.1


accounted for approximately 25 percent of the graduates, up from 23 percent last year (Table B3).


Gender and ethnicity distributions of enrolled students (Table B8) suggest that women comprise a larger fraction of the total CS enrollment in 2019-20 than they did in 2018-19 (20.8 percent vs 19.5 percent). The 20.8 percent of enrolled CS students who are women is almost identical to the 21.0 percent of 2018-19 CS graduates who were women. With respect to ethnic diversity, the fraction of total enrollment aggregated across all three computing areas, among ethnicities other than Non-resident Alien, Asian and White, is 20.2 percent. Last year it was 20.6 percent. In CS, these other ethnicities comprised 19.0 percent of total enrollment. These statistics suggest that the diversity of computing graduates is not likely to change much any time soon.

In all three computing areas (CS, CE, and I), Resident Asians and Non-resident Aliens continue comprise a larger fraction of female enrollment than male enrollment, while a larger fraction of male enrollment than female enrollment is White (Table B8). Table B7 indicates that the same comparisons continue to hold true for degree awardees in CS and I, although Non-resident Aliens are a slightly higher fraction of male than of female awardees in CE this year.






















Faculty Demographics

(Tables F1-F9; Figure F1)4

Table F1 shows the current and anticipated sizes, in FTE, for tenure-track, teaching, and research faculty, and postdocs. The total tenure-track faculty count in U.S. CS departments increased by less than one percent over last year, and the average tenure-track faculty size decreased slightly. In U.S. CS departments, the total teaching faculty count increased from 1107 to 1249 (12.8 percent), following a 16.9 percent increase last year.

Once again, we report teaching faculty in two categories, called "Teaching Professors" and "Other Instructors". "Teaching Professors" on average have more varied responsibilities in teaching, scholarship, service/governance, etc., and higher expectations for visibility outside the unit or the institution. "Other Instructors" are more focused on teaching introductory or midlevel courses and tend to have shorter contract lengths, though they are still full time faculty (Taulbee does not collect data on course-by-course adjuncts). In U.S. CS departments, the number of

persons in these two categories again was split fairly evenly at public institutions, but decidedly in favor of Teaching Professors at private institutions. However, at private institutions, many more persons were classified as "Other Instructors" this year, while the number of "Teaching Professors" was similar to that reported last year. U.S. I departments and Canadian departments also again reported a decided preference for the Teaching Professor category of teaching faculty.

The total number of research faculty reported at U.S. CS departments dropped from 426 to 382, while the total number of postdocs dropped from 531 to 518. About 62% of the U.S. CS departments providing faculty data to this year's survey reported having any research faculty, including slightly more public (63%) than private (58%) universities. About two-thirds of public and 71% of private U.S. CS departments reported having any postdocs.

Figure F1 illustrates the comparative changes at U.S. CS departments in undergraduate enrollment, tenure-track faculty and teaching



Table FI. Actual and Anticipated Faculty Size by Position and Department Type

	Act	tual		Proj	ected				
	2019	-2020	2020	-2021	2021-	-2022	Expected 2	2-Yr Growth	# Depts
US CS Public	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average	#	%	
TenureTrack	3077	30.5	3244	32.1	3417	33.8	340	11.1%	101
Teaching Prof	491	4.9	538	5.3	595	5.9	103	21.0%	77
Other Instruc	421	4.2	451	4.5	468	4.6	46	11.0%	73
Research	237	2.4	250	2.5	266	2.6	28	11.9%	64
Postdoc	253	2.5	274	2.7	298	3.0	45	17.8%	68
Total	4,480	44.4	4,757	47.1	5,043	49.9	563	12.6%	
US CS Private	,,,,,,		.,		5,5 15				
TenureTrack	1307	34.4	1376	36.2	1418	37.3	110	8.5%	38
Teaching Prof	224	5.9	246	6.5	258	6.8	34	15.0%	31
Other Instruc	113	3.0	120	3.2	125	3.3	12	10.6%	25
Research	145	3.8	159	4.2	169	4.4	24	16.6%	22
Postdoc	265	7.0	282	7.4	294	7.7	29	10.9%	27
Total	2,054	54.1	2,183	57.4	2,263	59.6	209	10.2%	
All US CS	2,001	•	2,.00	0711	2,200	00.0	200	10.2%	
TenureTrack	4,384	31.5	4,619	33.2	4,835	34.8	451	10.3%	139
Teaching Prof	715	5.1	784	5.6	852	8.8	137	19.1%	108
Other Instruc	534	3.8	571	4.1	593	8.2	58	11.0%	98
Research	382	2.8	409	2.9	435	7.5	52	13.7%	86
Postdoc	518	3.7	556	4.0	592	8.3	74	14.3%	95
Total	6,534	47.0	6,940	49.9	7,306	52.6	772	11.8%	
US CE	0,001		5,5.0	10.0	7,000	02.0	,,_		
TenureTrack	112	22.4	114	22.8	116	23.2	4	3.6%	5
Teaching Prof	7	1.4	7	1.4	7	2.3	0	0.0%	3
Other Instruc	8	1.6	8	1.6	8	4.0	0	0.0%	2
Research	24	4.8	24	4.8	24	12.0	0	0.0%	3
Postdoc	26	5.2	28	5.6	29	7.3	3	11.5%	4
Total	177	35.4	181	36.2	184	36.8	7	4.0%	
USI									
TenureTrack	394	28.2	432	30.9	464	33.2	70	17.8%	14
Teaching Prof	126	9.0	152	10.9	172	15.6	46	36.6%	12
Other Instruc	60	4.3	65	4.6	70	11.6	10	16.7%	12
Research	14	1.0	15	1.1	17	2.8	3	20.7%	9
Postdoc	32	2.3	42	3.0	47	4.2	15	47.6%	12
Total	625	44.7	706	50.4	769	55.0	144	23.0%	
Canadian		,,							
TenureTrack	361	40.1	380	42.2	384	42.7	23	6.4%	9
Teaching Prof	47	5.2	46	5.1	45	7.5	-2	-4.3%	7
Other Instruc	15	1.7	15	1.7	15	3.0	0	0.0%	7
Research	5	0.6	5	0.6	5	5.0	0	0.0%	2
Postdoc	92	10.2	93	10.3	94	15.7	2	2.2%	6
Total	520	57.8	539	59.9	543	60.3	23	4.4%	9
Grand Total									
TenureTrack	5,252	31.4	5,546	33.2	5,799	34.7	547	10.4%	167
Teaching Prof	895	5.4	990	5.9	1,076	9.2	181	20.2%	130
Other Instruc	617	3.7	659	3.9	685	8.1	68	11.0%	119
Research	426	2.5	454	2.7	481	7.2	55	13.0%	100
Postdoc	668	4.0	718	4.3	762	8.3	95	14.2%	117
Total	7,857	47.0	8,366	50.1	8,803	52.7	946	12.0%	117



faculty since 2006, when the current enrollment surge began. This figure updates with recent years' data a figure from the Generation-CS report. Although the graph shows that teaching faculty increases for the past two years are at a similar rate to growth in number of majors, that followed six consecutive years of increases that each fell far short of the growth in majors. This illustrates the continuing challenge to obtain sufficient instructional resources to deal effectively with the increased enrollments.

Canadian departments, on average, are larger than U.S. CS departments, in terms of both tenure-track and total faculty, although the gap is smaller this year for total faculty. U.S. I and CE departments, on average, continue to be smaller than U.S. CS departments on both counts, though the gap between U.S. CS and U.S. I also has narrowed. The observations about U.S. CE and I departments may reflect the fact that we ask departments to report only computing-related faculty, so departments with Library Science or EE programs may report only part of their faculty.

Among U.S. CS departments, those at private universities are on average larger than those at public universities in both tenure-track and total faculty size. This has been observed consistently for many years.

Table F2 summarizes faculty hiring this past year. The success rate for hiring tenure-track faculty at this year's reporting U.S. CS departments was 70.7 percent, a noticeable drop from last year's reported 77.5 percent. The success rate among departments at public universities was again higher than that at private universities (74.0 percent vs 62.4 percent), and the gap was larger this year. Canadian departments once again collectively had a lower success rate than U.S.CS departments. U.S. I departments' success rate was higher than U.S. CS departments, a change from last year's observation. In aggregate across all types of departments, the tenure-track hiring success rate during the three most recent recruiting cycles has decreased from 82.7 percent to 77.3 percent to 70.4 percent. The distribution of the reasons for lack of hiring success is similar to that last year, though there was a slightly larger percentage this year due to offers being turned down (55.9 percent compared with 51.1 percent reported last year (Table F2a).

Table F2. Vacant Positions 2018-2019 by Position and Department Type

•	JI	
	Tried to fill	Filled
US CS Public		
TenureTrack	312	231
Teaching Prof	85	70
Other Instruc	80	80
Research	29	33
Postdoc	102	107
Total	608	521
US CS Private		
TenureTrack	125	78
Teaching Prof	44	38
Other Instruc	24	25
Research	18	18
Postdoc	49	58
Total	260	217
All US CS		
TenureTrack	437	309
Teaching Prof	129	108
Other Instruc	104	105
Research	47	51
Postdoc	151	165
Total	868	738
US CE		
TenureTrack	6	2
Teaching Prof	0	0
Other Instruc	0	0
Research	1	1
Postdoc	8	6
Total	15	9
US I		
TenureTrack	54	44
Teaching Prof	21	20
Other Instruc	4	6
Research	5	2
Postdoc	11	11
Total	95	83
Canadian		
TenureTrack	41	24
Teaching Prof	5	5
Other Instruc	4	4
Research	0	2
Postdoc	11	38
Total	61	73
Grand Total		
TenureTrack	538	379
Teaching Prof	155	133
Other Instruc	112	115
Research	53	56
Postdoc	181	220
Total	1,039	903



Gender diversity among newly hired faculty improved in 2019-20 when all categories of academic positions (tenure-track, teaching faculty, research faculty, and postdoc) are considered collectively. This year the fraction of newly hired faculty who are women is 25.9 percent vs 22.9 percent last year (Table F3). Among those newly hired into tenure-track positions, the proportion of women was similar (23.5 percent this year to 22.9 percent last year). The

percentage of women among new tenure-track faculty hires and the percentage of women among newly hired faculty overall both are once again higher than the percentage of new female Ph.D.s produced during the past year (20.8 percent).

Among new tenure-track faculty whose residency was known, White, Non-resident Alien or Asian hires collectively comprise 94.1

Table F2a. Reasons Positions Left Unfilled

Reason	# Reported	% of Reasons
Didn't find a person who met our hiring goals*	19	13.1%
Offers turned down	81	55.9%
Technically vacant, not filled for admin reasons	7	4.8%
Hiring in progress	34	23.4%
Other	4	2.8%
Total Reasons Provided	145	
*What hiring goals could not be met?		# Given
Specific specialty area not found (cybersecurity and othe	rs)	6
Didn't meet criteria, weak candidates, too few candidates	5	6

Table F3. Gender of Newly Hired Faculty

	Tenur	e-Track		Teaching Professors		structors			Pos	tdoc	To	tal
Male	322	76.5%	93	63.3%	68	70.8%	37	86.0%	111	77.1%	631	74.1%
Female	99	23.5%	54	36.7%	28	29.2%	6	14.0%	33	22.9%	220	25.9%
Unknown	1		0		1		0		7		9	
Total	422		147		97	0	43		151		860	

Table F4. Ethnicity of Newly Hired Faculty

	Tenur	e-Track		ching essors		her uctors	Res	earch	Pos	tdoc	To	otal
Nonresident Alien	59	15.6%	9	6.7%	10	11.6%	4	10.3%	21	15.7%	103	13.3%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0%	2	1.5%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.5%
Asian	143	37.8%	26	19.3%	13	15.1%	12	30.8%	52	38.8%	246	31.9%
Black or African-American	4	1.1%	5	3.7%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	4	3.0%	15	1.9%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.4%
White	154	40.7%	79	58.5%	51	59.3%	17	43.6%	49	36.6%	350	45.3%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	2	0.3%
Hispanic, any race	5	1.3%	9	6.7%	4	4.7%	2	5.1%	3	2.2%	23	3.0%
Resident, race/ethnic unknown	12	3.2%	5	3.7%	4	4.7%	1	2.6%	4	3.0%	26	3.4%
Total known residency	378		135		86		39		134		772	
Residency Unknown	44		12		11		4		17		88	
Total	422		147		97		43		151		860	



percent. Among newly hired teaching and research faculty, these three categories comprise approximately 85 percent, while among postdocs it is slightly over 90 percent (Table F4).

Table F10 shows the sources of new faculty of each type. For newly hired assistant professors, the fraction who had been postdocs in the previous year was 97/342 (28 percent). Since we began collecting such information in 2015, this percentage has

Table F5. Faculty Losses

Died	5
Retired	103
Took Academic Position Elsewhere	139
Took Nonacademic Position	43
Remained, but Changed to Part Time	11
Other	20
Unknown	6
Total	327

ranged from 21 to 31 percent. The percentage of these new assistant professors who were postdocs is about the same as the percentage who were new Ph.Ds, while 36 percent of new assistant professors were in other academic positions the previous year. Last year, 39 percent of new assistant professors were new Ph.D.s while 29 percent came from other academic positions. We don't know the previous academic rank of the new assistant professors who came from other academic positions; they might have been teaching faculty or research faculty as a transitional position, or they might have come from other tenure-track positions.

Of the 90 new full and associate professors whose source was reported, 82 percent came from other academic institutions and 12 percent from industry. This is similar to last year's respective 78 and 13 percent. Among teaching faculty, 35 percent were hired without a Ph.D, while this fraction was 42 percent for Other Instructors. Last year's respective percentages were 25 and 74 percent. This year, 55 percent of new research faculty did not have a Ph.D., compared with only 34 percent reported last year.

Table F6. Gender of Current Faculty

	F	ull	Asso	ciate	Assi	stant		hing ssors		her uctors	Rese	earch	Post	doc	To	otal
Male	2,064	84.3%	1,010	77.4%	1,082	76.1%	679	70.7%	489	70.2%	317	77.7%	493	72.6%	6,134	77.4%
Female	385	15.7%	295	22.6%	340	23.9%	282	29.3%	208	29.8%	91	22.3%	186	27.4%	1,787	22.6%
Unknown	41		6		22		5		12		0		35		121	
Total	2,490		1,311		1,444		966		709		408		714		8,042	

Table F7. Ethnicity of Current Faculty

	F	ull	Asso	ociate	Assi	stant		hing ssors		her uctors	Rese	earch	Pos	tdoc	То	tal
Nonresident Alien	10	0.4%	17	1.5%	195	14.8%	51	6.0%	36	5.4%	22	5.6%	151	24.9%	482	6.6%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	5	0.2%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%	2	0.2%	4	0.6%	0	0.0%	5	0.8%	21	0.3%
Asian	677	29.9%	361	31.3%	460	34.8%	111	13.0%	66	9.9%	93	23.8%	168	27.7%	1,936	26.7%
Black or African-American	23	1.0%	24	2.1%	38	2.9%	15	1.8%	23	3.5%	10	2.6%	15	2.5%	148	2.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	0.0%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	0.2%
White	1,403	61.9%	664	57.6%	544	41.1%	610	71.2%	444	66.9%	240	61.5%	222	36.6%	4,127	56.9%
Multiracial, not Hispanic	16	0.7%	6	0.5%	5	0.4%	4	0.5%	7	1.1%	1	0.3%	4	0.7%	43	0.6%
Hispanic, any race	47	2.1%	34	3.0%	26	2.0%	29	3.4%	28	4.2%	14	3.6%	14	2.3%	192	2.6%
Resident, race/ ethnic unknown	83	3.7%	39	3.4%	50	3.8%	35	4.1%	46	6.9%	10	2.6%	28	4.6%	291	4.0%
Total known residency	2,265		1,152		1,322		857		664		390		607		7,257	
Residency Unknown	225		159		122		109		45		18		107		785	
Total	2,490		1,311		1,444		966		709		408		714		8,042	



Table F8. Current Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty by Gender and Ethnicity, From 162 Departments

		Full Pi	rofesso	or			Associat	e Profe	ssor			Assistan	t Profe	ssor		Ethni Tota	
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	9	1	0	1	0	15	2	0	2	1	155	39	1	16	13	222	5
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	10	0
Asian	582	95	0	32	28	271	90	0	32	35	361	99	0	37	33	1,498	33
Black or African- American	18	5	0	1	2	16	8	0	2	3	20	18	0	2	6	85	2
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
White	1,183	220	0	64	66	520	144	0	61	57	409	134	1	42	45	2,611	57
Multiracial, not Hispanic	16	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	2	3	0	0	1	27	1
Hispanic, any race	35	12	0	2	4	26	8	0	3	3	22	4	0	2	1	107	2
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	1,846	336	0			858	255	0			969	301	2			4,567	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	55	11	17			27	9	3			28	19	3			172	
Not Reported (N/R)	163	38	24			125	31	3			85	20	17			506	
Gender Totals	2,064	385	41			1,010	295	6			1,082	340	22			5,245	
%	84.3%	15.7%				77.4%	22.6%				76.1%	23.9%					
* %M and %F column	s are the I	ercent of	that q	ender v	vho are	of the sp	ecified eth	nicity,	of thos	e whose	ethnicity	is known					

Table F9a. Current Non-Tenure-Track Teaching Faculty by Gender and Ethnicity, From 157 Departments

		Teach	ning Profe	ssors			0th	er Instruc	tors		Ethnici	ty Totals
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	38	13	0	7	5	23	12	1	5	7	87	6
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	6	0
Asian	70	41	0	12	17	39	27	0	9	15	177	12
Black or African- American	12	3	0	2	1	12	11	0	3	6	38	3
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0	1	2	10	1
White	437	173	0	75	72	321	123	0	74	68	1,054	73
Multiracial, not Hispanic	3	1	0	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	11	1
Hispanic, any race	22	7	0	4	3	23	5	0	5	3	57	4
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	583	239	0			435	182	1			1,440	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	22	12	1			31	15	0			81	
Not Reported (N/R)	74	31	4			23	11	11			154	
Gender Totals	679	282	5			489	208	12			1,675	
%	70.7%	29.3%				70.2%	29.8%					



Table F9b. Current Non-Tenure-Track Research Faculty and Postdocs by Gender and Ethnicity, From 132 Depts

		Non-Ten	ure-Track F	Research				Postdocs			Ethnicit	y Totals
	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Male	Fem	N/R	% of M*	% of F*	Total	%
Nonresident Alien	18	4	0	6	5	118	30	3	28	20	173	18
Amer Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	5	1
Asian	73	20	0	25	23	122	42	4	29	29	261	27
Black or African- American	6	4	0	2	5	11	4	0	3	3	25	3
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	184	56	0	63	64	151	65	6	36	44	462	48
Multiracial, not Hispanic	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	5	1
Hispanic, any race	12	2	0	4	2	8	5	1	2	3	28	3
Total Res & Ethnicity Known	293	87	0			418	147	14			959	
Resident, ethnicity unknown	9	1	0			17	7	4			38	
Not Reported (N/R)	15	3	0			58	32	17			125	
Gender Totals	317	91	0			493	186	35			1,122	
%	77.7%	22.3%				72.6%	27.4%					

st %M and %F columns are the percent of that gender who are of the specified ethnicity, of those whose ethnicity is known

There were 7.9 percent more faculty losses reported this year as compared with last year (Table F5). Increases were present in retirements, persons taking academic positions elsewhere, and persons taking nonacademic positions. Fewer people moved to part-time status than did so in last year's report.

The proportion of women currently at the full professor and assistant professor ranks is higher this year than last year, while the proportion at the associate professor rank is slightly lower. This is the reverse of what was reported last year at all three ranks. There again is an increase in the proportion of women among teaching faculty, with only a one-half of a percentage point difference in the proportion of women between the two teaching faculty categories. The proportion of women among research faculty and postdocs also are higher than their respective values reported last year, with the biggest jump being among postdocs, where the proportion of women went from 19.0 to 27.4 percent (Table F6).

Table F7 shows the breakdown of ethnicity among current faculty in each category. The proportion of current faculty who are American Indian, Black, Native Hawaiian, Multiracial or Hispanic collectively totals between 4.0 and 6.5 percent except for "other instructors", where these ethnicities total 10.9 percent.

Again this year, the vast majority of departments reported gender by ethnicity breakdowns of their faculty, Table F8 shows, for each ethnicity at each tenure-track rank, the percentage of total men at that rank represented by that ethnicity and the percentage of total women at that rank represented by that ethnicity. Tables F9a and F9b do likewise, respectively, for teaching faculty and for research faculty and postdocs. While there are fluctuations in these percentages from year to year, the basic picture did not change much from last year.

U.S. CS departments anticipate an average 5.4 percent growth in tenure-track faculty next year but an 8.5 percent growth in teaching faculty (Table FI). The tenure-track forecast is lower than that made last year, while the teaching faculty forecast is higher than last year's forecast. Departments also forecast an average 7.3 percent growth in postdocs, lower than the forecast last year. Actual hiring was fairly close in aggregate to last year's expectations for teaching faculty hiring, falling short for teaching professors and exceeding expectations for other instructors. Actual hiring fell short of expectations for postdocs and far short of expectations for tenure-track faculty.



Table F10. Source of New Faculty

Source	Full	Associate	Assistant	Teaching Prof	Other Instruc	Research	Postdoc	Total	% Total from Source
New PhD	2	3	95	20	11	5	70	206	29%
From Postdoc	0	0	97	6	4	5	10	122	17%
From Other Academic	36	38	123	30	25	12	32	296	41%
From Industry	5	6	27	25	19	7	1	90	13%
Total With Hire Source	43	47	342	81	59	29	113	714	
Hired Without PhD	1	0	7	28	25	16	0	77	
% Hired Without PhD				35%	42%	55%			






Table R1. Total Expenditure from External Sources for Computing Research

Department Type	# Depts	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th
US CS Public	82	\$758,700	\$2,054,122	\$4,715,479	\$9,620,511	\$15,940,989
US CS Private	30	\$1,693,735	\$3,307,449	\$6,165,610	\$14,235,123	\$18,240,686
US CE	3	*	*	*	*	*
US Information	14	\$1,232,838	\$2,418,270	\$3,026,459	\$5,107,540	\$6,074,615
Canadian	4	*	*	\$3,592,278	*	*

Research Expenditures

(Table R1; Figures R1-R2)


Table R1 shows the distribution of departments' total research expenditure (including indirect costs or "overhead" as stated on project budgets) from external sources of support. Figures R1 and R2 show the per capita expenditure, where capitation is computed two ways. The first (Figure R1) is relative only to the number of tenure-track faculty members. The second (Figure R2) is relative to research faculty and postdocs as well as tenure-track faculty. Canadian levels are shown in Canadian dollars.


Reported research expenditures in U.S. departments were substantially lower this year compared with those reported last year. Overall median research expenditures for 2018-19 at U.S. CS public departments decreased 11 percent, while they declined nearly 35 percent at U.S. CS private departments and 28 percent at U.S. I departments. However, this follows substantial increases

reported last year. Canadian departments showed a 75 percent increase in median expenditure over last year, but the small Canadian sample size makes these comparisons a less reliable indicator of the country-wide situation.

The U.S. CS data show a tendency for larger departments to have more external funding per capita than smaller departments among both public and private institutions. This has been the trend consistently at public institutions, but not as consistently at private institutions.









Graduate Student Support

(Tables G1-G2; Figures G1-G3)

Table GI shows the number of doctoral students supported as full-time students as of fall 2019, further categorized as teaching assistants (TAs), research assistants (RAs), and full-support fellows. The table also shows the split between those on institutional vs. external funds. Table GIa shows similar data for supported master's students.

The average number of TAs on institutional funds among doctoral students in U.S. CS departments is similar to last year's value (35.0 vs 33.8 last year). Public universities reported an increase, private universities reported a decline for the second straight year. The small number of CE, I, and Canadian departments also make these comparative averages subject to considerable volatility.

Among research associates, the average number per department on external funding was lower this year in U.S. CS departments at both public and private universities, while the average number of RAs supported on institutional funds increased at both public and private universities. The average number of full-support fellows on internal funds declined in U.S. CS departments, mainly due to decreases at private universities. The average number of full-support fellows on external funds increased at U.S. CS departments, again mainly due to private universities.

Among master's students, 67.9 percent of support is for TAs, an increase over the 66.8 percent reported last year. Conversely, 29.2 percent of support is for RAs, compared with last year's 29.8 percent. The 108 U.S. CS departments that provided master's support data had an average number of TAs per department on institutional funds of 23.2, compared to the 19.4 average reported in last year's survey and 16.7 reported two years ago (Table Gla). This suggests that the use of master's students continues to increase to help departments cope with the CS enrollment surge. Note, however, that master's students are not eligible for assistantships in several departments (Table Glb).

Table GI. Doctoral Students Supported as Full-Time Students by Department Type

			On	Institutio	onal Fun	ds				On Extern	al Funds	3		Total
Department Type	# Dept	Teacl Assist		Rese Assis		Full-Su Fello	ipport ows	Teac Assis		Rese Assist			ipport ows	
US CS Public	91	3,625.3	39.6%	1,267.9	13.9%	383.9	4.2%	64.0	0.7%	3,547.6	38.8%	258.5	2.8%	9,147.2
US CS Private	32	675.7	17.1%	1,285.8	32.5%	285.5	7.2%	0.0	0.0%	1,542.9	39.0%	169.0	4.3%	3,958.9
US CS Total	123	4,301.0	32.8%	2,553.7	19.5%	669.4	5.1%	64.0	0.5%	5,090.5	38.8%	427.5	3.3%	13,106.1
US CE	4	85.0	30.9%	10.0	3.6%	14.0	5.1%	0.0	0.0%	164.0	59.6%	2.0	0.7%	275.0
US I	14	251.6	41.0%	117.2	19.1%	27.5	4.5%	1.6	0.3%	197.2	32.1%	19.0	3.1%	614.1
Canadian	6	224.0	42.9%	201.0	38.5%	4.0	0.8%	3.0	0.6%	90.0	17.2%	0.0	0.0%	522.0
Grand Total	147	4,861.6	33.5%	2,881.9	19.9%	714.9	4.9%	68.7	0.5%	5,541.7	38.2%	448.5	3.1%	14,517.2

Table Gla. Master's Students Supported as Full-Time Students by Department Type

			0n	Institutio	onal Fun	ds			(On Extern	al Funds	5		Total
Department Type	# Dept	Teacl Assist		Rese Assis		Full-Su Fello	ipport ows	Teac Assis		Rese Assist		Full-Su Fell		
US CS Public	85	1,971.2	71.6%	146.5	5.3%	42.0	1.5%	36.0	1.3%	527.8	19.2%	31.0	1.1%	2,754.5
US CS Private	23	533.2	85.6%	20.3	3.3%	7.0	1.1%	2.5	0.4%	45.0	7.2%	15.0	2.4%	623.0
US CS Total	108	2,504.4	74.1%	166.8	4.9%	49.0	1.5%	38.5	1.1%	572.8	17.0%	46.0	1.4%	3,377.5
US CE	3	5.0	71.4%	1.0	14.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1.0	14.3%	0.0	0.0%	7.0
US I	13	128.9	56.8%	18.4	8.1%	32.0	14.1%	0.2	0.1%	45.3	20.0%	2.0	0.9%	226.8
Canadian	4	294.5	37.8%	269.0	34.5%	0.0	0.0%	8.0	1.0%	208.5	26.7%	0.0	0.0%	780.0
Grand Total	128	2,933	66.8%	455	10.4%	81	1.8%	47	1.1%	828	18.8%	48	1.1%	4,391



Table G2 shows the distribution of stipends for TAs, RAs, and full-support fellows. U.S. CS data are further broken down in this table by public and private institution. Figures G1-G3 further break down the U.S. CS data by size of department and by geographic location of the university.

The median TA salaries at U.S. CS departments increased 2.5 percent at public universities and increased 4.1 percent at private universities. Median salaries of RAs rose 1.5 percent at public universities and 4.8 percent at private universities. For full-support fellows, median salaries rose 2.9 percent at U.S. public universities and 2.1 percent at U.S. private universities.

Compared with public U.S. CS departments, median stipends are higher at private U.S. CS departments in each of the three stipend categories, and based on the changes observed in the previous paragraph, the gap grew this year for TAs and RAs. Median stipends for TAs and RAs at U.S. I schools fall in between those at public and private U.S. CS departments. These relationships

are unchanged from previous years. Median stipends for full-support fellows at I schools are the same as that for public U.S. CS departments. They also were nearly the same last year.

Median salaries of RAs are 3.7 percent higher than those of TAs at U.S. CS public departments, less than one percent higher at U.S. CS private departments, and 2.4 percent higher U.S. I departments. Full support fellow median salaries are considerably higher than RA salaries at U.S. CS public departments, but only modestly higher at U.S. CS private and U.S. I departments.

At U.S. CS departments, larger departments have higher salaries than do smaller departments for both TAs and RAs, except that the smallest public departments (those of size 15 or less) have higher TA (but not RA) stipends than those of size 16-25. Stipends of full support fellows exhibit no clear relationship among public departments, while private departments continue to show a positive correlation between size and stipend.

Table Glb. Master's Students Eligibility for Assistantship Support

	# Depts	% of Depts
All master's students are eligible for assistantships	88	62.0%
No master's students are eligible for assistantships	12	8.5%
Students in some master's programs but not others are eligible for assistantships	28	19.7%
Other*	14	9.9%


^{*} Other responses divided between individual student qualifications (e.g. GPA or training) and department needs or resources (research needs, funds availability)







Table G2. Fall 2019 Academic-Year Graduate Stipends by Department Type and Support Type

and Support Type	•					
		Teach	ing Assistants	hips		
			Percentile	s of Departme	nt Averages	
Department Type	# Depts	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th
US CS Public	100	\$12,000	\$16,568	\$19,484	\$21,911	\$24,168
US CS Private	28	\$19,995	\$23,776	\$26,760	\$30,858	\$32,651
US CE	5			\$16,857		
US Information	12	\$17,809	\$20,834	\$23,486	\$26,044	\$27,114
Canadian	8		\$7,790	\$14,073	\$16,438	
		Resea	rch Assistants	hips		
			Percentile	s of Departme	nt Averages	
Department Type	# Depts	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th
US CS Public	99	\$14,815	\$17,934	\$20,212	\$22,850	\$25,534
US CS Private	35	\$22,056	\$23,675	\$27,000	\$31,600	\$33,497
US CE	5			\$24,633		
US Information	12	\$20,118	\$21,780	\$24,045	\$25,765	\$26,158
Canadian	7		\$8,989	\$11,000	\$16,377	
		Full	-Support Fello	NS		
			Percentile	s of Departme	nt Averages	
Department Type	# Depts	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th
US CS Public	64	\$17,650	\$20,188	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$34,031
US CS Private	33	\$23,129	\$25,292	\$27,670	\$32,520	\$34,000
US CE	4			\$25,334		
US Information	9		\$21,779	\$25,000	\$26,000	
Canadian	3					











Faculty Salaries

(Tables S1-S21; Figures S1-S9)

Each department was asked to report individual (but anonymous) faculty salaries if possible; otherwise, the department was requested to provide the mean salary for each rank (full, associate, and assistant professors and non-tenure-track teaching faculty, research faculty, and post-doctorates) and the number of persons at each rank. The salaries are those in effect on January 1, 2020 for U.S. departments; nine-month salaries are reported in U.S. dollars. For Canadian departments, twelve-month salaries are reported in Canadian dollars. Respondents were asked to include salary supplements such as salary monies from endowed positions.

U.S. CS data is reported in Tables S1-S16 and in the box and whiskers diagrams. Data for CE, I, Canadian, and new Ph.D.s are reported in Tables S17-S20. The tables and diagrams contain distributional data (first decile, quartiles, and ninth decile) computed from the department averages only. Thus, for example, a table row labeled "50" or the median line in a diagram is the median of the

averages for the departments that reported within the stratum (the number of such departments reporting is shown in the "depts" row). Therefore, it is not a true median of all of the salaries.

We also report salary data for senior faculty based on time in rank, for more meaningful comparison of individual or departmental faculty salaries with national averages. We report associate professor salaries for time in rank of 7 years or less, and of more than 7 years. For full professors, we report time in rank of 7 years or less, 8 to 15 years, and more than 15 years. We also disaggregate teaching faculty salaries into the two subclasses, for teaching professors and other instructors. Within each subclass, there is further breakdown into persons with time in rank of less than 3 years, 3-5 years, 6-8 years, and 9 or more years. The teaching faculty salary disaggregations are in Tables S1a to S19a.

Those departments reporting salary data are normally provided a summary report in December; this year, the salary report was distributed in April when the early version of this report was made available to participating departments and CRA members. Next

Table S1. Nine-month Salaries, 142 Responses of 192 US CS Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	120	117	124	141	110	125	141	139	117	45	47
Indiv	713	556	718	2,068	403	604	1,072	1,228	1,105	311	327
10	\$130,980	\$135,374	\$121,646	\$127,443	\$102,984	\$109,657	\$106,206	\$92,663	\$63,556	\$60,973	\$44,701
25	\$153,698	\$151,481	\$139,637	\$149,007	\$110,633	\$116,866	\$113,722	\$100,252	\$73,691	\$73,860	\$53,893
50	\$178,611	\$170,794	\$161,285	\$168,867	\$116,719	\$124,786	\$121,547	\$107,553	\$85,717	\$100,000	\$57,217
75	\$208,495	\$199,546	\$178,392	\$190,332	\$129,199	\$141,000	\$135,536	\$119,819	\$100,241	\$122,209	\$67,055
90	\$236,524	\$221,550	\$198,757	\$208,257	\$142,139	\$152,122	\$153,051	\$129,157	\$118,372	\$146,281	\$70,315

Table S1a. Nine-month Salaries, 142 Responses of 192 US CS Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	43	40	56	63	91	29	14	30	41	72
Indiv	111	64	148	192	644	79	41	90	124	461
10	\$74,538	\$73,389	\$69,606	\$68,486	\$72,437	\$60,535	\$61,165	\$59,625	\$52,734	\$52,826
25	\$88,773	\$82,097	\$79,802	\$80,000	\$82,200	\$70,382	\$72,359	\$67,981	\$66,083	\$65,672
50	\$103,371	\$93,234	\$90,838	\$88,020	\$89,500	\$81,396	\$75,193	\$75,703	\$72,513	\$72,877
75	\$124,000	\$108,413	\$110,287	\$101,088	\$107,677	\$96,697	\$87,351	\$86,830	\$87,267	\$85,690
90	\$140,817	\$133,848	\$132,563	\$116,213	\$123,325	\$123,822	\$103,332	\$104,383	\$97,218	\$108,928



year will return to the earlier distribution of the salary report to participants. In the salary report, those departments that provided individual salaries were additionally provided more comprehensive distributional information based on these individual salaries.

The response rates from U.S. CS, U.S. CE, U.S. Information, and Canadian departments increased over last year's rates. This year's respective response rates for those departments were 73, 20, 68 and 31 percent; last year's respective rates were 70, 15, 60 and 27 percent. The total number of respondents this year was 172, while last year we had 164 respondents. The number of respondents this year was exactly the same as two years ago. As always, we urge caution in drawing conclusions from those categories with low response rates.

This year, 63 percent of those reporting salary data provided salaries at the individual level. This is down from last year's 68 percent.

Salaries at private institutions tend to be higher than those at public institutions for all faculty types (Tables S2 and S3). This pattern is consistent with data from previous years.

When viewed relative to faculty size, salaries tend to be higher for larger departments at both public and private institutions (perhaps best seen in Figures S1-S9). This pattern holds for all tenure-track ranks. It also holds for teaching faculty, research faculty and postdoc salaries, with the exception of research faculty at public institutions.

When viewed relative to type of locale, public institution salaries appear to be generally lower in smaller locales than in mid-size or large cities for all tenure-track faculty ranks. Private institution salaries exhibit the opposite pattern, except for associate professors with 0-7 years in rank. Teaching faculty salaries at both public and private institutions tend to be higher in large cities than in smaller locales (Figures S1-S7).

Our analysis of faculty salary changes from one year to the next uses only those departments that reported both years; otherwise, the departments that reported during only one year can skew the comparison. Because some departments that reported both years provided only aggregate salaries for their full and associate

Table S2. Nine-month Salaries, 105 Responses of 139 US CS Public (All Public), Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure T	rack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	87	86	94	104	83	89	104	103	85	30	30
Indiv	485	392	513	1462	302	404	761	911	764	183	158
10	\$127,609	\$128,701	\$119,650	\$126,791	\$100,764	\$105,715	\$104,612	\$91,628	\$62,276	\$53,385	\$43,800
25	\$146,244	\$147,994	\$132,495	\$143,998	\$107,937	\$115,639	\$111,185	\$97,690	\$72,270	\$69,242	\$53,870
50	\$172,696	\$165,267	\$151,569	\$163,142	\$115,973	\$123,583	\$119,560	\$104,026	\$82,400	\$82,404	\$55,852
75	\$193,340	\$190,046	\$171,892	\$179,190	\$126,530	\$133,452	\$130,990	\$114,900	\$90,162	\$111,275	\$62,898
90	\$212,502	\$204,833	\$185,195	\$196,732	\$138,688	\$143,514	\$143,228	\$124,130	\$109,882	\$130,929	\$68,650

Table S2a. Nine-month Salaries, 105 Responses of 139 US CS Public (All Public), Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	28	28	40	44	63	25	11	23	28	54
Indiv	65	40	102	129	429	67	29	73	87	335
10	\$72,079	\$72,577	\$67,708	\$63,742	\$69,109	\$59,826	\$58,129	\$60,627	\$36,175	\$51,240
25	\$84,123	\$80,714	\$78,444	\$78,703	\$78,619	\$66,972	\$70,162	\$67,526	\$60,770	\$63,276
50	\$94,636	\$89,674	\$85,166	\$86,125	\$87,500	\$76,128	\$73,452	\$71,287	\$70,000	\$69,890
75	\$108,435	\$104,173	\$95,071	\$91,191	\$100,180	\$89,159	\$78,982	\$82,749	\$80,786	\$79,586
90	\$135,920	\$118,243	\$115,693	\$105,108	\$117,181	\$100,881	\$85,714	\$88,184	\$87,416	\$86,669



professors during one year and in the other year reported them by years in rank, we only report salary changes for all full professors and for all associate professors in the year-to-year comparison. Similarly, we do not disaggregate teaching faculty by years in rank in the year to year comparison, though we do distinguish teaching professors from other instructors. Table S21 shows, by type of faculty and type of department, the change in the median of the average salaries from departments that reported both years (the number of departments being compared is indicated in parentheses in each column heading). Using the cell showing full professors at U.S. CS departments as an example, the table indicates that the median of the 129 average salaries for full professors was 3.7 percent higher in 2019-20 than was the median of the average full professor salaries in 2018-19 from these same 129 departments.

When interpreting these changes, it is important to remember the effect that promotions have on the departmental data from one

year to the next, since a promotion causes an individual faculty member to move from one rank to another. Thus, a department with a small number of faculty members in a particular rank can have its average salary in that rank change appreciably (in either direction) by a single promotion to or from that rank. Departures via resignation or retirement also impact these figures, particularly in the non-tenure-track categories. Because of the small number of Canadian schools, Information schools, and Computer Engineering departments reporting, the values in those columns are considerably more volatile; this is evident in several of the entries in Table S21.

For new Ph.D.s in tenure-track positions at U.S. computer science, computer engineering and I-school departments, the median of the averages was \$112,555, an increase of 5.5 percent over last year (Table S20). Again this year, there was an insufficient response from Canadian institutions to report any results regarding Canadian salaries for new Ph.Ds.

Table S3. Nine-month Salaries, 37 Responses of 53 US CS Private (All Private), Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	[rack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	33	31	30	37	27	36	37	36	32	15	17
Indiv	228	164	205	606	101	200	311	317	341	128	169
10	\$157,813	\$156,404	\$146,136	\$139,493	\$110,395	\$118,203	\$114,788	\$102,882	\$79,451	\$95,364	\$46,867
25	\$180,060	\$175,097	\$162,540	\$169,667	\$112,875	\$122,768	\$120,998	\$110,292	\$84,459	\$102,500	\$54,996
50	\$217,020	\$199,525	\$177,531	\$194,991	\$118,728	\$142,100	\$138,667	\$119,150	\$101,209	\$115,000	\$61,980
75	\$238,950	\$220,725	\$197,940	\$211,541	\$133,262	\$151,889	\$148,760	\$128,443	\$110,717	\$145,828	\$68,763
90	\$255,329	\$234,338	\$207,052	\$232,976	\$153,342	\$167,268	\$161,404	\$132,715	\$126,052	\$162,626	\$70,875

Table S3a. Nine-month Salaries, 37 Responses of 53 US CS Private (All Private), Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	15	12	16	19	28	4	3	7	13	18
Indiv	46	24	46	63	215	12		17	37	126
10	\$95,956	\$81,181	\$82,081	\$79,190	\$84,377				\$69,550	\$67,930
25	\$104,616	\$90,997	\$92,512	\$87,026	\$89,004			\$77,214	\$83,500	\$77,331
50	\$121,090	\$106,686	\$111,612	\$94,389	\$103,992	\$126,098		\$91,503	\$88,000	\$89,687
75	\$131,875	\$121,143	\$119,635	\$112,850	\$121,485			\$102,717	\$99,000	\$105,174
90	\$140,443	\$142,791	\$136,619	\$139,668	\$129,081				\$105,262	\$110,215



Table S4. Nine-month Salaries, 20 Responses of US CS Public With ←15 Tenure-Track Faculty, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pr	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	No	n-Tenure Tra	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	12	9	16	19	15	12	19	18	13	0	1
Indiv	19	17	40	86	39	30	80	67	52		
10	\$113,283		\$112,384	\$114,466	\$93,871	\$101,126	\$94,784	\$87,162	\$58,782		
25	\$117,229	\$114,358	\$116,630	\$122,476	\$96,516	\$102,590	\$100,915	\$89,693	\$62,697		
50	\$133,253	\$129,223	\$122,372	\$127,305	\$101,345	\$111,466	\$107,535	\$95,548	\$74,461		
75	\$148,265	\$150,008	\$127,991	\$140,164	\$112,516	\$116,462	\$115,869	\$98,275	\$79,518		
90	\$193,732		\$163,021	\$153,209	\$133,789	\$119,690	\$122,435	\$101,018	\$87,895		

Table S4a. Nine-month Salaries, 20 Responses of US CS Public With ←15 Tenure-Track Faculty, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	4	3	4	8	10	2	0	3	4	7
Indiv	5		10	13	32				6	20
10					\$59,770					
25				\$64,709	\$68,524					\$59,161
50	\$77,956		\$89,074	\$78,135	\$82,010				\$59,412	\$63,823
75				\$85,438	\$87,861					\$68,939
90					\$89,951					

Table S5. Nine-month Salaries, 36 Responses of US CS Public With 10 < Tenure-Track Faculty ←20, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure T	rack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	24	26	31	36	27	29	36	35	26	4	4
Indiv	54	66	90	232	80	86	185	159	125	19	6
10	\$113,653	\$112,349	\$112,065	\$114,874	\$94,741	\$102,687	\$101,280	\$89,702	\$59,046		
25	\$123,131	\$128,439	\$116,260	\$125,846	\$102,256	\$105,706	\$105,565	\$92,414	\$62,350		
50	\$140,535	\$150,229	\$126,083	\$141,770	\$107,700	\$112,072	\$110,850	\$97,291	\$72,062	\$95,925	\$56,000
75	\$160,837	\$170,924	\$141,455	\$154,452	\$112,885	\$116,899	\$117,337	\$102,779	\$79,189		
90	\$188,751	\$204,667	\$149,985	\$173,059	\$118,350	\$125,079	\$123,830	\$108,611	\$87,056		





Table S5a. Nine-month Salaries, 36 Responses of US CS Public With 10 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=20, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	6	7	8	9	16	7	2	8	10	19
Indiv	8	9	9	17	47	12		20	22	78
10					\$63,281				\$26,056	\$41,149
25		\$68,043	\$69,690	\$74,542	\$69,601	\$60,004		\$61,413	\$53,301	\$54,641
50	\$80,557	\$81,600	\$75,909	\$80,000	\$75,408	\$71,067		\$69,929	\$59,540	\$63,823
75		\$85,245	\$85,141	\$84,750	\$85,075	\$85,343		\$77,758	\$68,456	\$72,231
90					\$87,741				\$80,333	\$82,635

Table S6. Nine-month Salaries, 34 Responses of US CS Public With 15 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=25, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure T	rack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	26	29	29	34	24	29	34	34	26	6	4
Indiv	71	78	109	288	71	79	178	211	154	24	7
10	\$125,744	\$119,309	\$112,486	\$133,608	\$104,441	\$105,052	\$105,694	\$91,728	\$61,709		
25	\$138,469	\$140,719	\$127,408	\$142,673	\$109,786	\$110,000	\$110,142	\$96,289	\$64,940		
50	\$159,049	\$158,149	\$142,672	\$152,717	\$114,758	\$116,866	\$115,484	\$101,567	\$73,502	\$91,859	\$55,770
75	\$178,374	\$190,000	\$151,770	\$171,139	\$120,506	\$124,600	\$123,608	\$107,295	\$81,611		
90	\$200,367	\$207,016	\$168,494	\$178,421	\$127,033	\$129,446	\$131,355	\$113,989	\$87,956		

Table S6a. Nine-month Salaries, 34 Responses of US CS Public With 15 < Tenure-Track Faculty ←25, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	6	7	10	9	15	8	4	10	9	20
Indiv	8	9	16	23	62	13	6	25	23	92
10			\$62,754		\$63,141			\$60,125		\$42,682
25		\$71,961	\$66,069	\$74,542	\$71,250	\$65,450		\$65,793	\$57,080	\$62,933
50	\$88,753	\$81,600	\$75,909	\$80,000	\$75,818	\$72,104	\$70,850	\$75,703	\$67,825	\$68,292
75		\$85,245	\$81,827	\$84,063	\$86,603	\$77,648		\$79,508	\$80,000	\$78,523
90			\$94,461		\$90,076			\$85,991		\$85,035





Table S7. Nine-month Salaries, 24 Responses of US CS Public With 20 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=35, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	22	21	21	24	20	21	24	24	20	7	6
Indiv	92	60	86	271	67	62	155	206	179	15	18
10	\$138,649	\$136,459	\$131,910	\$143,426	\$107,941	\$113,109	\$110,915	\$97,738	\$67,405		
25	\$150,279	\$143,305	\$149,663	\$153,752	\$110,723	\$116,677	\$115,665	\$101,571	\$72,075	\$51,881	
50	\$169,597	\$156,408	\$153,015	\$164,740	\$117,828	\$124,057	\$119,462	\$104,935	\$74,460	\$69,144	\$55,560
75	\$187,576	\$174,523	\$167,667	\$181,213	\$126,148	\$131,630	\$125,364	\$108,781	\$84,197	\$88,439	
90	\$199,309	\$203,501	\$177,976	\$187,520	\$132,953	\$142,500	\$132,866	\$116,602	\$89,435		

Table S7a. Nine-month Salaries, 24 Responses of US CS Public With 20 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=35, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	6	6	9	9	13	7	5	8	8	17
Indiv	11	8	27	26	100	15	7	16	23	79
10					\$72,471					\$53,195
25			\$77,351	\$68,107	\$75,496	\$66,971		\$68,328	\$58,143	\$63,382
50	\$88,773	\$83,031	\$78,948	\$83,276	\$80,790	\$73,140	\$73,452	\$71,869	\$67,027	\$68,752
75			\$92,708	\$88,000	\$89,154	\$80,290		\$81,800	\$74,411	\$80,000
90					\$95,617					\$85,206

Table S8. Nine-month Salaries, 41 Responses of US CS Public With Tenure-Track Faculty >30, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	40	40	41	41	35	40	41	41	38	21	22
Indiv	352	275	335	973	159	260	423	549	491	153	142
10	\$161,515	\$148,001	\$144,365	\$159,699	\$107,936	\$120,668	\$116,660	\$102,634	\$75,650	\$66,873	\$42,200
25	\$169,619	\$158,095	\$153,055	\$166,581	\$112,814	\$123,589	\$119,360	\$104,824	\$85,102	\$70,000	\$47,810
50	\$179,841	\$176,306	\$170,983	\$177,294	\$123,775	\$133,426	\$127,608	\$115,204	\$91,241	\$81,930	\$55,394
75	\$202,937	\$193,489	\$181,310	\$194,367	\$135,269	\$141,646	\$141,831	\$123,632	\$106,964	\$106,128	\$64,044
90	\$223,458	\$211,575	\$207,427	\$202,335	\$143,146	\$151,929	\$153,051	\$129,720	\$120,381	\$119,300	\$69,848





Table S8a. Nine-month Salaries, 41 Responses of US CS Public With Tenure-Track Faculty >30, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	15	16	23	24	33	12	4	7	11	20
Indiv	45	25	66	89	304	41	18	37	46	187
10	\$92,070	\$87,287	\$79,779	\$81,040	\$85,254	\$70,446			\$66,083	\$57,035
25	\$94,636	\$90,113	\$84,291	\$86,653	\$88,122	\$74,851		\$69,519	\$70,313	\$68,338
50	\$107,619	\$99,520	\$91,055	\$90,500	\$99,290	\$86,538	\$81,050	\$80,545	\$72,513	\$71,589
75	\$131,313	\$112,165	\$103,604	\$102,301	\$113,119	\$91,044		\$94,977	\$85,494	\$83,947
90	\$146,018	\$131,094	\$145,870	\$114,123	\$125,380	\$120,950			\$91,125	\$94,607

Table S9. Nine-month Salaries, 11 Responses of US CS Private With <=20 Tenure-Track Faculty, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	8	6	7	11	10	10	11	11	8	2	4
Indiv	25	15	19	64	24	25	50	40	42		6
10				\$125,912	\$108,400	\$114,397	\$112,113	\$95,880			
25	\$174,449		\$144,740	\$142,817	\$111,043	\$118,363	\$114,484	\$103,309	\$78,357		
50	\$191,892	\$186,093	\$170,000	\$179,809	\$116,521	\$120,134	\$120,484	\$107,835	\$84,405		\$53,098
75	\$238,538		\$180,631	\$198,202	\$124,071	\$134,983	\$124,577	\$122,050	\$93,224		
90				\$207,500	\$132,162	\$141,835	\$138,667	\$127,500			

Table S9a. Nine-month Salaries, 11 Responses of US CS Private With <=20 Tenure-Track Faculty, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	4	2	5	5	8	0	0	0	1	2
Indiv	4		9	18	38					
10										
25					\$82,235					
50	\$106,284		\$86,600	\$82,250	\$87,752					
75					\$93,224					
90										





Table S10. Nine-month Salaries, 16 Responses of US CS Private With 15 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=30, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	14	14	12	16	11	16	16	16	15	8	8
Indiv	68	57	57	188	24	65	90	99	100	36	58
10	\$181,865	\$172,742	\$162,516	\$172,768	\$111,750	\$118,656	\$119,760	\$109,068	\$79,328		
25	\$192,896	\$185,621	\$163,341	\$182,712	\$114,625	\$130,292	\$128,145	\$115,577	\$86,759	\$96,704	\$55,713
50	\$211,088	\$201,251	\$174,181	\$195,330	\$118,728	\$140,600	\$139,071	\$119,150	\$102,200	\$105,063	\$60,990
75	\$240,658	\$217,679	\$183,816	\$202,175	\$132,938	\$149,040	\$144,005	\$126,988	\$109,409	\$132,486	\$68,471
90	\$253,483	\$224,307	\$194,806	\$220,155	\$138,900	\$167,030	\$161,613	\$136,132	\$117,882		

Table S10a. Nine-month Salaries, 16 Responses of US CS Private With 15 < Tenure-Track Faculty <=30, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	8	6	7	11	15	1	0	2	6	8
Indiv	14	8	14	32	75				17	25
10				\$75,948	\$85,598					
25	\$102,427		\$110,190	\$84,588	\$88,971					\$75,168
50	\$107,931	\$96,835	\$112,800	\$87,401	\$102,200				\$93,000	\$86,601
75	\$115,049		\$120,590	\$101,570	\$113,675					\$94,689
90				\$116,433	\$121,954					

Table SII. Nine-month Salaries, 26 Responses of US CS Private With Tenure-Track Faculty >20, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	25	25	23	26	17	26	26	25	24	13	13
Indiv	203	149	186	542	77	175	261	277	299	122	163
10	\$160,335	\$157,078	\$150,310	\$155,124	\$111,221	\$120,784	\$120,757	\$110,105	\$83,722	\$94,599	\$47,578
25	\$191,292	\$182,267	\$162,580	\$177,823	\$114,395	\$134,850	\$131,268	\$116,312	\$93,122	\$105,000	\$60,000
50	\$218,574	\$199,525	\$183,733	\$196,624	\$123,714	\$147,904	\$144,092	\$121,377	\$104,015	\$115,000	\$68,616
75	\$238,950	\$220,356	\$201,236	\$222,110	\$138,900	\$158,791	\$155,820	\$129,079	\$112,106	\$148,092	\$69,278
90	\$267,363	\$231,900	\$211,440	\$237,434	\$157,974	\$171,297	\$164,201	\$135,828	\$127,908	\$166,138	\$70,958





Table SIIa. Nine-month Salaries, 26 Responses of US CS Private With Tenure-Track Faculty >20, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	11	10	11	14	20	4	3	7	12	16
Indiv	42	22	37	45	177	12		17	35	122
10	\$103,371	\$79,819	\$94,482	\$87,208	\$93,690				\$76,525	\$71,758
25	\$107,931	\$90,321	\$107,017	\$93,091	\$98,325			\$77,214	\$84,625	\$83,093
50	\$121,275	\$106,686	\$112,800	\$101,713	\$111,966	\$126,098		\$91,503	\$89,000	\$91,065
75	\$131,875	\$116,617	\$118,050	\$124,157	\$122,416			\$102,717	\$99,618	\$107,154
90	\$141,191	\$144,764	\$127,576	\$143,665	\$136,077				\$105,736	\$110,309

Table S12. Nine-month Salaries, 41 Responses of US CS Public In Large City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	35	35	38	41	34	36	41	40	33	11	15
Indiv	199	154	216	602	130	188	345	347	336	114	97
10	\$135,258	\$140,112	\$125,248	\$139,444	\$105,187	\$105,712	\$108,017	\$94,878	\$62,255	\$60,616	\$44,918
25	\$159,049	\$149,220	\$135,579	\$150,903	\$111,667	\$115,650	\$111,389	\$101,403	\$73,691	\$68,952	\$53,893
50	\$177,875	\$170,641	\$153,585	\$165,519	\$119,779	\$124,693	\$121,920	\$107,239	\$83,843	\$104,712	\$55,204
75	\$193,173	\$190,829	\$171,591	\$182,767	\$128,414	\$133,390	\$130,814	\$116,447	\$90,162	\$129,211	\$58,662
90	\$211,580	\$203,532	\$184,664	\$194,851	\$138,569	\$143,119	\$141,831	\$120,223	\$107,363	\$141,300	\$63,707

Table S12a Nine-month Salaries, 41 Responses of US CS Public In Large City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	12	15	16	19	25	9	5	11	11	22
Indiv	29	23	41	69	205	21	11	29	28	131
10	\$91,398	\$73,476	\$77,683	\$72,117	\$72,198			\$60,000	\$52,734	\$53,236
25	\$93,768	\$84,914	\$81,419	\$78,273	\$79,240	\$71,019		\$65,218	\$63,720	\$63,897
50	\$96,048	\$93,805	\$87,506	\$88,335	\$88,122	\$86,262	\$74,000	\$77,667	\$71,500	\$71,676
75	\$110,788	\$105,873	\$93,281	\$90,739	\$96,848	\$89,290		\$83,133	\$80,000	\$79,586
90	\$132,322	\$119,762	\$106,641	\$107,998	\$114,971			\$105,000	\$83,327	\$86,239



Table S13. Nine-month Salaries, 25 Responses of US CS Public In Midsize City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	21	21	21	25	19	24	25	25	19	7	4
Indiv	131	108	148	395	69	91	169	238	168	34	27
10	\$140,629	\$126,480	\$127,408	\$146,590	\$105,787	\$110,000	\$108,961	\$93,526	\$62,789		
25	\$157,978	\$155,484	\$146,402	\$156,323	\$109,300	\$116,605	\$115,707	\$97,291	\$72,522	\$80,256	
50	\$176,833	\$165,000	\$164,800	\$171,200	\$116,363	\$126,162	\$121,179	\$103,647	\$85,681	\$94,000	\$60,234
75	\$199,591	\$185,917	\$174,000	\$184,790	\$124,299	\$139,394	\$135,052	\$117,500	\$95,134	\$112,419	
90	\$224,380	\$199,546	\$185,544	\$200,416	\$141,915	\$150,316	\$156,810	\$139,277	\$147,905		

Table S13a. Nine-month Salaries, 25 Responses of US CS Public In Midsize City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	7	6	9	8	11	5	3	3	4	9
Indiv	21	9	22	21	77	18			18	91
10					\$72,437					
25	\$85,714		\$74,000	\$76,479	\$75,939					\$67,833
50	\$108,494	\$89,279	\$79,250	\$81,276	\$89,500	\$71,067			\$85,246	\$75,228
75	\$139,100		\$113,264	\$92,907	\$110,939					\$85,681
90					\$144,560					

Table S14. Nine-month Salaries, 39 Responses of US CS Public in Small City, Town, or Rural, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	31	30	35	38	30	29	38	38	33	12	11
Indiv	155	130	149	465	103	125	247	326	260	35	34
10	\$121,300	\$127,426	\$112,587	\$123,570	\$100,245	\$106,488	\$102,535	\$89,130	\$63,733	\$54,536	\$42,000
25	\$132,568	\$143,994	\$124,404	\$130,542	\$105,079	\$114,210	\$106,930	\$95,520	\$71,078	\$67,235	\$50,972
50	\$154,380	\$158,197	\$144,365	\$150,076	\$111,350	\$119,163	\$115,541	\$102,958	\$78,200	\$71,930	\$55,584
75	\$183,634	\$188,742	\$172,233	\$172,618	\$124,518	\$131,630	\$124,639	\$108,281	\$89,250	\$83,909	\$64,560
90	\$201,368	\$211,575	\$181,132	\$188,777	\$132,419	\$136,839	\$135,358	\$123,819	\$101,373	\$110,391	\$69,998





Table S14a. Nine-month Salaries, 39 Responses of US CS Public in Small City, Town, or Rural, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	or				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	9	7	15	17	27	11	3	9	13	23
Indiv	15	8	39	39	147	28		25	41	113
10			\$69,206	\$64,484	\$68,693	\$59,117			\$40,000	\$51,211
25	\$73,497	\$73,510	\$77,104	\$80,000	\$76,599	\$65,099		\$67,751	\$57,080	\$62,969
50	\$82,414	\$82,262	\$86,928	\$84,750	\$86,664	\$76,128		\$69,858	\$67,825	\$68,666
75	\$86,345	\$95,630	\$96,594	\$91,000	\$96,044	\$84,105		\$71,287	\$70,625	\$73,310
90			\$103,629	\$102,369	\$108,041	\$89,423			\$80,741	\$84,574

Table S15. Nine-month Salaries, 24 Responses of US CS Private in Large City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	21	19	20	24	19	24	24	23	22	12	11
Indiv	148	99	155	409	85	152	238	230	293	119	112
10	\$147,845	\$152,110	\$147,022	\$136,992	\$110,508	\$115,524	\$114,043	\$101,812	\$79,451	\$97,895	\$45,168
25	\$178,221	\$163,578	\$161,837	\$167,586	\$111,560	\$123,885	\$122,379	\$110,820	\$85,778	\$107,594	\$56,107
50	\$214,187	\$186,056	\$180,799	\$190,266	\$118,728	\$139,834	\$137,856	\$118,500	\$101,209	\$121,897	\$61,980
75	\$235,231	\$219,786	\$196,647	\$205,598	\$135,314	\$149,743	\$146,549	\$128,446	\$110,968	\$145,696	\$70,035
90	\$243,996	\$229,462	\$205,940	\$229,834	\$156,406	\$167,813	\$159,291	\$133,004	\$123,233	\$167,894	\$71,000

Table S15a. Nine-month Salaries, 24 Responses of US CS Private in Large City or Suburbs, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 v	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	12	11	13	14	19	4	3	6	11	14
Indiv	40	23	40	48	176	12		16	32	117
10	\$94,515	\$80,645	\$82,253	\$87,208	\$86,159				\$68,000	\$67,836
25	\$105,239	\$94,642	\$94,482	\$93,143	\$91,647				\$80,375	\$74,003
50	\$123,907	\$107,669	\$110,423	\$105,814	\$102,200	\$126,098		\$96,312	\$88,000	\$89,687
75	\$136,834	\$125,135	\$118,680	\$125,948	\$122,260				\$95,670	\$105,174
90	\$141,004	\$143,866	\$126,561	\$143,665	\$128,602				\$106,210	\$110,049



Table S16. Nine-month Salaries, 13 Responses of US CS Private in Other than Large City, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	12	12	10	13	8	12	13	13	10	3	6
Indiv	80	65	50	197	16	48	73	87	48		57
10	\$164,652	\$163,642	\$143,400	\$156,668		\$118,417	\$116,900	\$105,925	\$82,685		
25	\$188,484	\$182,312	\$162,887	\$175,870	\$117,354	\$120,819	\$120,998	\$107,835	\$85,507		
50	\$229,979	\$209,130	\$173,967	\$208,257	\$122,234	\$143,623	\$141,096	\$119,800	\$100,524		\$64,520
75	\$246,840	\$221,767	\$196,870	\$214,326	\$132,009	\$157,208	\$154,883	\$126,500	\$107,958		
90	\$272,089	\$234,829	\$210,676	\$238,489		\$163,273	\$161,198	\$131,489	\$164,608		

Table S16a. Nine-month Salaries, 13 Responses of US CS Private in Other than Large City, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	3	1	3	5	9	0	0	1	2	4
Indiv				15	39					9
10										
25					\$89,037					
50				\$82,250	\$105,784					\$92,486
75					\$110,931					
90										

Table S17. Nine-month Salaries, 7 Responses of 35 US Computer Engineering Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	4	2	2
Indiv	41	19	37	103	17	32	49	47	15		
10											
25	\$167,235	\$132,705	\$133,008	\$142,821	\$104,414	\$108,909	\$106,057	\$95,728			
50	\$173,254	\$147,187	\$154,494	\$163,759	\$107,835	\$129,084	\$111,327	\$101,756	\$94,237		
75	\$188,898	\$169,336	\$158,623	\$184,582	\$115,573	\$131,632	\$129,201	\$114,075			
90											





Table S17a. Nine-month Salaries, 7 Responses of 35 US Computer Engineering Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor				Other Instruc	tor	
	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	1	1	0	2	3	2	1	1	1	2
Indiv										
10										
25										
50										
75										
90										

Table S18. Nine-month Salaries, 16 Responses of 23 US Information Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Full Pro	ofessor			Associate		Assistant	N	lon-Tenure	Track
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	10	13	16	16	13	16	16	16	14	5	5
Indiv	44	55	79	178	55	106	161	176	186	31	19
10	\$168,531	\$149,049	\$120,127	\$129,120	\$102,006	\$104,469	\$101,952	\$87,315	\$69,930		
25	\$179,380	\$159,300	\$132,658	\$144,597	\$107,431	\$118,406	\$116,622	\$98,463	\$76,929		
50	\$190,659	\$166,253	\$153,863	\$167,411	\$116,891	\$125,272	\$125,015	\$106,151	\$89,870	\$69,149	\$54,318
75	\$205,510	\$190,557	\$163,966	\$174,940	\$134,417	\$134,813	\$139,205	\$109,971	\$98,335		
90	\$218,362	\$194,446	\$178,414	\$185,193	\$142,663	\$149,101	\$147,287	\$125,151	\$107,117		

Table S18a. Nine-month Salaries, 16 Responses of 29 US Information Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor		Other Instructor					
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	
Depts	6	3	5	7	12	6	4	5	7	8	
Indiv	17		8	23	93	20	9	24	40	93	
10					\$65,207						
25				\$68,470	\$84,248				\$73,482	\$74,914	
50	\$92,694		\$99,640	\$82,072	\$94,279	\$89,977	\$78,919	\$80,330	\$77,800	\$85,379	
75				\$87,045	\$113,388				\$88,589	\$92,852	
90					\$130,366						





Table S19. Twelve-month Salaries, 9 Responses of 29 Canadian Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

	Full Professor				Associate		Assistant	N	on-Tenure Tr	ack	
	In rank 16+ yrs	In rank 8-15 yrs	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank	In rank 8+ years	In rank 0-7 years	All years in rank		Teach	Research	Postdoc
Depts	7	9	8	9	8	8	9	9	7	4	5
Indiv	52	58	62	172	58	41	99	78	69	13	57
10											
25	\$195,448	\$181,895	\$150,586	\$178,470	\$144,944	\$116,107	\$132,706	\$105,944	\$88,287		
50	\$216,856	\$188,935	\$180,807	\$183,498	\$156,517	\$146,943	\$161,370	\$126,905	\$102,970	\$77,695	\$55,667
75	\$220,489	\$210,084	\$198,255	\$202,212	\$169,341	\$170,008	\$169,307	\$135,623	\$112,737		
90											

Table S19a. Twelve-month Salaries, 9 Responses of 30 Canadian Departments, Percentiles from Department Averages

		Teac	hing Profess	sor		Other Instructor				
Non- Tenure Track	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years	Teaching 9+ years	Teaching 6-8 years	Teaching 3-5 years	Teaching <3 years	All years
Depts	4	2	3	4	6	1	1	1	1	3
Indiv	19			6	44					
10										
25										
50	\$129,626			\$80,484	\$106,355					
75										
90										

Table S20. Nine-month Salaries for New PhDs (Twelve-month for Canadian)

	US (CS, CE, and Info Combined)							Canadian					
	Tenure- Track	Teaching Prof	Other Instructor	Non-ten Teach All	Non-ten Research	Postdoc	Tenure- Track	Teaching Prof	Other Instructor	Non-ten Teach All	Non-ten Research	Postdoc	
Depts	83	34	17	45	9	29	3	2	0	2	1	2	
Indiv	180	45	41	86	48	143	13	3		3	2	14	
10	\$94,500	\$70,000	\$36,250	\$51,759		\$45,737							
25	\$100,600	\$78,666	\$45,000	\$66,250	\$29,000	\$45,737							
50	\$112,555	\$85,008	\$68,383	\$79,333	\$59,158	\$61,439							
75	\$120,690	\$93,333	\$78,000	\$85,006	\$74,000	\$68,300							
90	\$135,139	\$104,770	\$91,260	\$99,000		\$74,568							



Table S21. Change in Salary Median for Departments that Reported in Both 2018 and 2019

	U.S. CS	U.S. CE	U.S. I	Canadian
Departments	129	4	13	8
Full Profs	3.70%	22.70%	-0.50%	2.40%
Assoc. Profs.	2.70%	5.40%	1.80%	13.20%
Asst. Profs.	2.80%	3.30%	4.10%	3.70%
Teaching Prof	7.00%	1.70%	8.70%	1.80%
Other Instructors	4.00%	-5.30%	-6.30%	1.90%
Research faculty	11.10%	-1.90%	-25.90%	17.30%
Post doctorates	3.00%	-12.70%	8.10%	-3.10%

Adjunct Per-Course Payments

(Tables S22-S23)

This year, for the first time, we asked for the rate typically paid adjuncts for a single course, divided by whether the course was taught to undergraduate or graduate students, and whether the adjunct had a Ph.D. or a Masters degree. Table S22 shows the

median course rate for different types of institutions. In general, among U.S. CS departments, adjunct rates are higher per course a) when the adjunct has a Ph.D. rather than an MS degree, b) at private than at public institutions, and c) in smaller than in larger locales. Table S23 summarizes the primary reasons for which adjunct rates might be adjusted.

Table S22. Median value for an adjunct teaching a single course.

Group	Median PhD teaching undergrad	N PhD teaching undergrad	Median PhD teaching grad	N PhD teaching grad	Median MS teaching undergrad	N MS teaching undergrad	Median MS teaching grad	N MS teaching grad
US CS	\$7,750	92	\$7,750	84	\$6,750	84	\$6,000	63
US CE	\$8,500	5	\$9,297	4	\$8,500	5	\$9,297	4
US IN	\$6,000	10	\$6,000	10	\$5,100	11	\$6,250	10
Canadian	\$7,500	5	\$8,222	4	\$8,250	4	\$6,445	3
US CS Public	\$6,500	70	\$6,000	63	\$6,250	68	\$6,000	49
US CS Private	\$8,847	22	\$8,694	21	\$9,299	16	\$8,200	14
Pub large city	\$6,000	37	\$5,818	32	\$6,000	36	\$5,550	25
Pub mid city	\$6,500	13	\$6,500	11	\$6,000	10	\$6,000	7
Pub small/rurl	\$8,250	20	\$8,750	20	\$7,500	22	\$7,500	17
Priv large city	\$8,847	14	\$9,146	14	\$8,382	12	\$7,763	11
Private other	\$10,000	8	\$8,000	7	\$12,678	4	\$11,000	3




Table S23. Adjunct rate adjustments. Department Averages


Group	% Adj for Time at Dept	% Adj for Specific Expertise
US CS	44%	55%
US CE	50%	67%
US IN	73%	64%
Canadian	25%	50%
US CS Pub	35%	52%
US CS Priv	71%	67%


Table S23a. Other reasons for adjunct rate adjustments.

# Depts	Reason
8	Prior research or industry experience
7	Course enrollment
5	Prior teaching experience at other institutions
3	Course difficulty/level
2	Relationship with department outside of teaching
1	Number of times teaching the same course
1	Demand vs. availability for the subject
1	Fraction of base salary for adjuncts who are researchers or faculty in other departments at the institution
1	High performance evaluations
1	Dependent on academic rank
1	Additional duties other than teaching, e.g. course development
1	Number of projects required in course













































Concluding Observations

After twelve years of sustained growth in undergraduate enrollment, there may be signs of a slowdown in that there are, on average, fewer new undergraduate majors in 2019-20 than there were in 2018-19. Nevertheless, the average number of CS majors continued its rise in 2018-19, both in U.S. CS departments and overall.

Growth in tenure-track faculty size was small in the academic departments in 2018-19, but there again was double-digit percentage increase in full-time teaching faculty and an increased number of supported TAs. We don't track part-time faculty; it is possible that departments also were continuing to increase this category of instructional assistance.

Doctoral program activity, both enrollment and completions, saw healthy increases. Master's degree production also was up in U.S. CS departments in 2018-19, but as was the case for bachelor's programs, the number of new master's students was down in 2019-20.

The COVID-19 restrictions in place in spring 2020 will, no doubt, have significant effects on what gets reported in next year's survey. CRA already is monitoring the impact on departments in a supplementary survey, and those who have responded to the supplementary survey were provided with a report summarizing the early findings.

Next year will mark 50 years of the Taulbee Survey. To commemorate this milestone, we expect to provide some retrospective on the historical Taulbee Survey data, probably as a separate report from the annual report.

Participating CS, CE, I and Canadian Departments

(Departments marked with * have participated in all 5 of the most recent Taulbee surveys)

U.S. CS Public (109): Arizona State*, Auburn*, Clemson*, College of William & Mary*, Colorado School of Mines*, Colorado State*, Florida International*, George Mason*, Georgia Tech*, Georgia State*, Indiana*, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, Iowa State*, Kansas State*, Kent State*, Michigan State*, Michigan Technological University, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Montana State*, Naval Postgraduate School*, New Jersey Institute of Technology*, New Mexico State,

North Carolina A&T, North Carolina State*, North Dakota State*, Ohio State*, Ohio*, Oklahoma State*, Old Dominion, Oregon State*, Pennsylvania State*, Portland State*, Purdue*, Rutgers, Southern Illinois (Carbondale), Stony Brook (SUNY)*, Temple, Tennessee Tech, Texas A&M*, Texas Tech*, University at Buffalo*, 41Universities of: Alabama (Birmingham)*, Arizona, Arkansas*, Arkansas at Little Rock*, California (Berkeley*, Davis*, Irvine*, Los Angeles, Riverside*, San Diego, Santa Barbara*, and Santa Cruz*), Central Florida*, Colorado (Boulder)*, Connecticut*, Delaware*, Florida*, Georgia*, Houston*, Idaho, Illinois (Chicago* and Urbana-Champaign*), Iowa*, Kansas*, Kentucky, Louisiana at Lafayette*, Maine, Maryland (College Park* and Baltimore County*), Massachusetts (Amherst*), Memphis, Minnesota*, Missouri (Columbia), Nebraska (Lincoln*), Nevada (Las Vegas and Reno*), New Hampshire*, New Mexico, North Carolina (Chapel Hill* and Charlotte*), 80North Texas*, Oklahoma*, Oregon*, Pittsburgh*, Rhode Island*, South Carolina*, South Florida*, Tennessee (Knoxville)*, Texas (Arlington*, Austin*, Dallas*, El Paso*, and San Antonio), Utah*, Vermont, Virginia*, Washington*, Washington Human Centered Design & Engineering, Wisconsin (Madison* and Milwaukee*), Wyoming, Utah State, Virginia Commonwealth, Virginia Tech*, Washington State*, Wayne State*, Western Michigan, and Wright State*.

U.S. CS Private (41): Boston University*, Brandeis, Brown*,
Carnegie Mellon*, Case Western Reserve*, Clarkson, Columbia,
Cornell*, DePaul*, Drexel*, Duke*, Emory*, Florida Institute of
Technology, George Washington, Harvard*, Illinois Institute of
Technology, Johns Hopkins*, Lehigh*, MIT*, New York University*,
Northeastern*, Northwestern*, NYU Tandon School of Engineering,
Pace, Princeton*, Rensselaer*, Rice*, Rochester Institute of
Technology*, Stanford*, Stevens Institute of Technology*, Toyota
Technological Institute at Chicago*, Tufts*, Universities of:
Chicago*, Notre Dame, Pennsylvania*, Rochester*, Southern
California*, and Tulsa*, Washington in St. Louis*, Worcester
Polytechnic Institute*, and Yale.

U.S. CE (7): Boston University, Case Western Reserve, North Carolina State*, Northwestern, Universities of: Central Florida*, Illinois (Urbana-Champaign), and New Mexico*.



U.S. Information (16): Cornell*, Drexel*, Indiana*, Penn State*, Syracuse, Universities of: Arizona, California (Berkeley), Cincinnati, Colorado (Boulder), Illinois (Urbana-Champaign), Maryland (College Park ISchool and Baltimore County*), Michigan*, North Carolina (Chapel Hill)*, Pittsburgh*, and Washington*.

Canadian (11): Concordia*, McGill, Simon Fraser*, Universities of: British Columbia*, Calgary*, Manitoba*, New Brunswick, Toronto*, Waterloo, Western Ontario, and York*.

The title of the survey honors Orrin E. Taulbee of the University of Pittsburgh, who conducted these surveys for the Computer Science Board until 1984, with retrospective annual data going back to 1970.

²Information (I) programs included here are Information Science, Information Systems, Information Technology, Informatics, and related disciplines with a strong computing component. Surveys were sent to CRA members, the CRA Deans group members, and participants in the iSchools Caucus (www.ischools.org) who met the criteria of granting Ph.D.s and being located in North America. Other I programs who meet these criteria and would like to participate in the survey in future years are invited to contact survey@cra.org for inclusion.

³Classification of the population of an institution's locale is in accordance with the Carnegie Classification database. Large cities are those with population >= 250,000. Mid-size cities have population between 100,000 and 250,000. Town/rural populations are less than 100,000.

⁴All faculty tables: The survey makes no distinction between faculty specializing in CS vs. CE programs. Every effort is made to minimize the inclusion of faculty in electrical engineering who are not computer engineers.